Taxonomy of the crawling water beetle *Haliplus zacharenkoi*, with notes on its ecology, phenology, and distribution (Coleoptera: Haliplidae)
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A diagnosis of *Haliplus zacharenkoi* Gramma in Gramma & Prisny, 1973 is given. The specimen labelled as holotype by the authors was collected in a locality different from that mentioned in the original description. Therefore, the lectotype is designated and the paralectotypes examined are listed. The ecology and phenology of the species are discussed, its distribution is reviewed.
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*Haliplus zacharenkoi* Gramma in Gramma et Prisny, 1973 was described from SE Ukraine. The species was later referred to as described either by Gramma & Prisny (e.g., Vondel, 1997) or by Gramma in Gramma & Prisny (e.g., Gramma, 1998; Kirejtshuk & Gramma, 2001). The authorship of Gramma was given in the key and accepted by the author of the name. We follow here this interpretation. Later the species was recorded from several localities in Ukraine (Gramma, 1998) and SW Russia (Minoransky, 1996; Kirejtshuk & Gramma, 2001; Prokin et al., 2002; Vondel, 2003; Nilsson et al., 2005). Unfortunately, the type series of this species is insufficiently specified in the original description (Gramma & Prisny, 1973), where all the material examined is listed in the “Distribution” section and partly listed again in the “Material Used” section, which consists of a single sentence: “50 specimens, including the holotype, are stored in the collection of the Zoological Institute of the USSR, Leningrad.” The “Distribution” section lists as many as 716 specimens examined by the authors of that work, and in the absence of any statements to the contrary all these specimens constitute the type series. The main Coleoptera collection of the Zoological Institute contains 96 specimens of *H. zacharenkoi* examined by V.N. Gramma before publication of the original description (including 10 specimens from the collection of V.B. Zacharenko, Kharkov, deposited, after his decease in 1993, in the Zoological Institute). The holotype is indicated in the “Distribution” section as belonging to a series collected at Zanki Station, Kharkov Province, whereas the specimen labelled as the holotype is from the Chernomorskiy Nature Reserve, Kherson Province. This labelled specimen cannot be regarded as a holotype and, therefore, the whole type series must be regarded as syntypes. The stability of nomenclature would benefit, if the type series of *H. zacharenkoi* is specified and a lectotype is designated. Here we designate a lectotype, list the examined paralectotypes, and review what is known of *H. zacharenkoi*.

**Haliplus zacharenkoi** Gramma in Gramma et Prisny, 1973

*Lectotype* (here designated):♂ bearing our lectotype label, Ukraine, Kharkov Prov., Zanki Station, pod [small body of stagnant water] in the steppe, 11.XII.1972, Zoological Institute, St. Petersburg.

Diagnosis. Most specimens of \textit{H. zacharenkoi} can be distinguished from other European species of the subgenus \textit{Haliplus} Latreille, 1802 s. str. (sensu Holmen, 1987 and Vondel et al., 2006) by the absence of basal plicae on pronotum, as was stated by Gramma & Prisny (1973). Nevertheless, as van Vondel (1997) noted, though specimens of \textit{H. zacharenkoi} are supposed to have no basal plicae, they sometimes have “vague impressions in their place”. A specimen with “traces of such plicae” was later examined by van Vondel (2003). The type series includes many such specimens, so the absence of basal plicae on pronotum often cannot be used as distinguishing character. In any case, basal plicae in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}, if present, are relatively short and more vague than in any other species of the subgenus. Among the European species of the genus \textit{Haliplus} Latreille, 1802, \textit{H. zacharenkoi} is most similar to \textit{H. fluviatilis} Aubé, 1836 and especially \textit{H. sibiricus} Motschulsky, 1860 (syn. \textit{wehnckeii} Gerhardt, 1877, synonymy suspected by Holmen, 1987 and established by Lundmark et al., 2001). It can be distinguished from \textit{H. fluviatilis} by the shape of the penis trunk (Figs 1, 3) and the larger (usually termed “right”) paramere (see Vondel, 1997; Vondel et al., 2006), as well as, in most cases, by the shape of proster-
nal process, more or less flat in \textit{H. fluviatilis}, and often (but not always) impressed medially in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}. The body of \textit{H. fluviatilis} is usually narrower and lower than in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}. The variable male genital sclerites of \textit{H. sibiricus} resemble those of \textit{H. zacharenkoi}, but the narrow apical part of the penis trunk is, though varying in length, relatively shorter in \textit{H. zacharenkoi} (Figs 2, 3); the width of the penis trunk also varies, but in \textit{H. zacharenkoi} it is usually greater than in \textit{H. sibiricus}. As van Vondel (1997) observed, male protarsal claws in \textit{H. zacharenkoi} are subequal in length, whereas \textit{H. sibiricus} has the anterior claw shorter than posterior one. The prosternal process is sometimes flat in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}, whereas in \textit{H. sibiricus} it is always impressed medially, at least anteriorly (between procoxae). There are five more European species of \textit{Haliplus} s. str. resembling \textit{H. zacharenkoi}, \textit{H. fluviatilis}, and \textit{H. sibiricus}: \textit{H. lineolatus} Mannerheim, 1844, \textit{H. immaculatus} Gerhardt, 1877, \textit{H. ruficollis} (De Geer, 1774), \textit{H. heydeni} Wehncke, 1877, and \textit{H. interjectus} Lindberg, 1937. Each of these five species can be distinguished from \textit{H. zacharenkoi} by the same characters as from \textit{H. sibiricus} (see Holmen, 1987; van Vondel, 1997; Kirejtshuk & Gramma, 2001). None of the five species have the male genital sclerites similar to those of \textit{H. zacharenkoi}. There are two East Palaeartic species of \textit{Haliplus} s. str. lacking basal plicae on pronotum: \textit{H. simplex} Clark, 1863 and \textit{H. harminae} van Vondel, 1990, and another, recently described East Palaeartic species, \textit{H. fuscicornis} Holmen, Vondel & Petrov in Vondel et al., 2006, having short basal plicae, sometimes very short and resembling the “traces of plicae” in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}. \textit{H. simplex} can be distinguished from \textit{H. zacharenkoi} by the presutural row of secondary punctures on elytron, dense and irregular in \textit{H. simplex}, sparse and regular in \textit{H. zacharenkoi}, and also by the male protarsal claws, subequal in \textit{H. zacharenkoi} and clearly unequal in \textit{H. simplex} (as in \textit{H. sibiricus}). \textit{H. harminae} differs from both \textit{H. simplex} and \textit{H. zacharenkoi} in the dark blotches on elytra, instead of parallel dark lines as in \textit{H. simplex} and \textit{H. zacharenkoi} (van Vondel, 1990). \textit{H. fuscicornis} is distinct.
from *H. zacharenkoi* in the protarsome 1, bearing a sharp ventral ridge (as in *H. immaculatus*) in *H. fuscicornis* and without such ridge in *H. zacharenkoi*. *H. simplex* and *H. fuscicornis* can be distinguished from *H. zacharenkoi* also by the shape of the male genital sclerites (see Vondel et al., 2006); males of *H. harmoniae* are still unknown. Species of the subgenus *Liaphlus* Guignot, 1928 resemble *H. zacharenkoi* in the absence of the basal plicae on pronotum, but *H. zacharenkoi* can be easily diagnosed among the mentioned species by the absence of setiferous striae on the dorsal surface of metatibia (see Holmen, 1987; Vondel, 1997).

**Ecology.** Adults of this species usually occur in relatively small bodies of brackish and fresh stagnant water, temporary (especially in floodplains) and permanent, in steppe and forest-steppe. Some specimens were collected in slowly running water (Nilsson et al., 2005; Dyadiccko & Gramma, in prep.). The larva is unknown.

**Phenology.** Adult beetles were collected from mid-April to early August and from late November to mid-December. Thus, the species probably pupates in the autumn, with adults hibernating. It is possible that some of the larvae also hibernate, pupating in spring.

**Distribution.** *Ukraine*: Kharkiv Prov. (Zanki, Zmiiv District), Dnepropetrovsk Prov. (Pavlohrad), Kherson Prov. (Chernomorskiy Nature Reserve; Askaniya-Nova Nature Reserve); Crimea Republic (Magazinka, Krasnoperkopsk District); Kirovograd and Odessa Prov. (data of Dyadiccko & Gramma, in prep.). *Russia*: Voronezh Prov. (Yarki near Yelan-Koleno, Novokhopersk District), Volgograd Prov. (city of Volgograd; Liska River, Liski District), Rostov Prov. (banks of the lower Don River and the Don delta).
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