New taxa of geophilic Entiminae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) from the Balkan Peninsula, Caucasus, and Central Asia
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Abstract. The article presents the results of a study of some geophilic weevils of the subfamily Entiminae. For Rhinomias caucasicus (Stierlin, 1877) from Northern Ossetia and Abkhasia the new genus Solarhinomias gen.n. is established. The new genus and species Turanomias yulai gen. et sp.n. is described from Turkmenistan. Also, a new genus Eurosphalmus gen.n. from Bulgaria and Romania is described. Amicromias breiti (Formánek, 1909) and Rhinomias dieckmanni Košťál, 1988 are transferred to this new genus. Four species from the genus Eurosphalmus gen.n. – E. attilai sp.n., E. zerchei sp.n., E. hilfi sp.n., and E. behnei sp.n. are described. For E. dieckmanni Košťál, comb.n. and E. behnei sp.n. the subgenus Rhinomiamima subgen.n. is established. A key to species of the genus Eurosphalmus gen.n. is given.
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1. Introduction

At the end of 19th century, when entomologists started to use special methods for collecting of the soil fauna, the number of new species descriptions of geophilic weevils started to increase. And now, in Europe investigations of the soil fauna still attract particular attention. As a result of examination of rich material collected at mountains of the Balkan Peninsula, Caucasus, and Central Asia one can conclude that the study of soil Entiminae is only beginning.

Broad-nosed weevils of the subfamily Entiminae are the largest group of the curculinid beetles; they are distributed worldwide, mostly in tropical regions. Until now 1340 genera (ALONSO-ZARAZAGA et al. 1999) and more than 12000 species have been described. This group includes most of the forms that traditionally belong to different subfamilies of the Curculionidae Adelognathi – Otiorynhchinae, Brachyderinae, Eremninae, Tanymecinae, and Tanyrhynchinae. MORIMOTO (1962) was the first who paid attention to the division of Adelognathi into subfamilies. Several sharply different classifications of Entiminae Schoenherr, 1823 (= Polydrusinae Schoenherr, 1828) have been proposed in recent times. ZHERIKHIN & EGOROV (1990) and THOMPSON (1992) demoted the traditional subfamilies to tribes. THOMPSON included the tribes Pachyrhynchini, Ectemnorhinini, and Sitonini in the Entiminae with exception of the above-listed taxa, and gave a key to the tribes, but without discussion of the Tanyrhynchinae. MARVALDI (1997) combined all Entiminae into 5 tribes: Pachyrhynchini, Ectemnorhinini, Alopini, Sitonini, and Entimini; this classification is similar to THOMPSON’s one. According to the catalogue of ALONSO-ZARAZAGA & LYAL (1999) the Entiminae comprise 55 tribes. Thus, there are many contradictions in the classification of Entiminae, which require a further analysis.

We do not plan to revise the system of Entiminae in this paper. However, according to our opinion, some tribes are evidently artificial. For example, Phyllobiini and Polydrusini are paraphyletic taxa because they are based on symplesiomorphies, while Sciaphilini, Omiini, and Holcorhinini are polyphyletic, based on similarity of a complex of correlated characters owing to the loss of wings. This complex of characters and other adaptive modifications have a small taxonomical weight and can not be used as the basic criterion for combining taxa (MAYR 1969).

A study of the geophilic Entiminae is one of the basic objectives of ecological investigations and the exploration of the biodiversity of weevils. Along with Oribatei, millipedes, and other phytosaprophilic arthropods, Entiminae play a very important role in utilizing decomposing leaves in the forests and decaying grass debris in steppes and alpine meadows.

As a result of the adaptations for inhabiting soil, Entiminae from different unrelated taxa underwent the process of body miniaturization, loss of pigmentation, modification of cover pubescence, as well as a total or partial aperipterous syndrome (term by ZHERIKHIN & EGOROV 1990). Adapting to new conditions they convergently acquired similar external characters of body structure, whereas only some characters remain to be an evidence of true phylogenetic relationships. Thus, establishing the systematic position and relationships of taxa of generic rank are among the most difficult aims for taxonomists who are describing Entiminae.

Because of extreme species richness there is no key to all known genera and tribes. A good key to tribes and genera of broad-nosed weevils was given by VAN EMDEN (1936, 1944) and was improved by SOLARI (1948). A key to the genera habitually similar to Rhinomias Reitter and Brachysomus Schoenherr is presented below. To avoid confusion we advisedly ignored the systematic position of the genera in the modern classification of Entiminae.
2. Methods

For the study and preparation of the specimens the binocular microscope BSM-9 was used. Genital structures were macerated in hot 10% KOH, washed in distilled water, and put in vials with glycerin. Illustrations of genital structures were made from glycerin preparations with a grid-ocular.

3. Abbreviations

ZIN Zoological Institute RAS, Saint-Petersburg  
BNHM The Natural History Museum, London  
NMP Národní muzeum, Praha  
MTMB Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Budapest  
DEI Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Müncheberg  
ZMUA Zoölogisch Museum, Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Bc collection of R. Borovec, Nechanice, Czech Republic  
KUMN Kharkov National University Museum of Nature, Ukraine

4. Key to genera

Key to west Palearctic genera of small soil Entiminae habitually similar to Rhinomias Reitter and Brachysomus Schoenherr.

1 Pterygia sharply projected from lateral contour of rostrum ........................................... 2

1' Pterygia not projected or slightly projected from lateral contour of rostrum ........................................... 4

2 (1) Body densely covered with lanceolate light scales and dark-brownish and suberect setae at the interstriae. Elytra with dark transverse bands. Rostral dorum sharply widened from base to middle, medially as wide as 1/3 of frons, antennal scape long, if bent backwards surpass apical margin of pronotum. Male venter elongate. Body length 2.25–2.75 mm. .................................................. Solarhinomias gen.n.  

2' (1) Body sparsely covered with light piliform or narrow bifurcate scales. Rostral dorum parallel-sided, medially 1.5–2 times narrower then frons ...................... 3

3 (2) Rostral sides strongly longitudinally rugose. Rostral dorum strongly convex longitudinally, separated from frons by deep transverse depression, some narrower then frons. Tegmen with at basal half parameres connate, tegminal ring narrow, smoothly confluent with parameres, apophyses 3 times shorter or as long as median lobe (Rh. forticornis). Ventral aspect of median lobe entirely or half sclerotized, at base membranous. Spiculum gastrale T-shaped at apex. Male pygidium without or with very short invagination. Body length 2.10–3.25 mm. ............... Europhalminus gen.n.

4 (1) Head behind of eyes more or less constricted, body usually densely covered with wide scales. Epistomal plate of rostrum distinctly depressed. Antennal scrobes entirely visible from above. Parameres normal, free at base, as long as median lobe. Eyes lateral, strongly hemispherically convex. Body length 1.70–2.60 mm. .................................................. Amicromias Reitter  

4' (1) Head behind of eyes without constriction, body densely or sparsely covered with wide or narrow scales. Epistomal plate of rostrum not depressed. Parameres rudimentary or normally developed, they are more shorter then median lobe. .............................................. 5

5 (4) claws appear free, connate at base only. ....... 6

5' (4) Claws connate in basal half. ....................... 7

6 (5) Frons strongly flattened. Antennal funicle very thin, 7th antennomere of funicle as long as wide, club elliptic, sharply separated from funicle. Rostral dorum narrowed apically, strongly convex longitudinally, with narrow median sulcus, distinctly separated from frons by transverse depression. Epistome strongly convex. Body scaling very dense with grayish wide lanceolate scales and erected wide curvy setae. Body length 2.40–2.50 mm. ............ Bosporomias Yunakov et Korotyaev

6' (5) Frons weakly convex. Antennal funicle thin, but 7th antennomere strongly transverse, club egg-shaped. Rostral dorum widened apically, almost flat, without median sulcus, not separated from frons by transverse depression. Epistome flat. Body scaling sparse with light piliform scales and erected thin setae. Body length 2.60–3.05 mm. ....................... Turanomias gen.n.

7 (5) Upper side of body with more or less sparsely spaced scales, which usually incompletely cover the integument; if the scales entirely cover the integument, then antennae long and antennal scape slightly curved, evenly widened to apex. Interstrial setae uniformly shape and length. Body length 1.50–4.25 mm. .............................................. Brachysomus Schoenherr  

7' (5) Upper side of body densely covered with round grey-brownish scales, which entirely cover the integument. Antennae broad, scape sharply widened apically and strongly curved. Interstrial setae obtuse at base and disk of elytra thin shorter then interstrial width, at apical descent setae longer and wider, as long as interstrial width. Body length 2.12–3.00 mm. .............................................. Archeophilous Khznzorian
5. Descriptions

**Genus Solarhinomias gen.n.**

Type species: *Meira caucasica* Stierlin, 1877

**Description and diagnosis.** Superficially the type species of *Solarhinomias* (Figs. 1–2) resembles representatives of the genus *Rhinomias* Reitter, 1894 (Figs. 3–4). However, the new genus differs from *Rhinomias* in the following characters: dense pubescence of the body, consisting of lanceolate scales and strongly erect setae on the elytra; weakly visible transverse depression, separating the rostral dorsum from the frons; rostral dorsum in basal third narrower than frons; long and thin antennal scape, extended beyond the front margin of pronotum; eyes strongly hemispherical convex, its largest width two times narrower than width of frons, rostral dorsum in middle part 3 times narrower than frons. Eyes strongly hemispherical convex, their longitudinal diameter 2.5 times shorter than width of frons, almost as temple length. Frons with longitudinal punctures.

**Antennae.** Antennal scape thin, long, almost straight, evenly widened apically, if bent backwards greatly surpass apical margin of pronotum, 1st antennomere of funicule strongly elongate, evenly widened to apex, with straight sides, 1st segment as long as 2nd, two times as long as wide, 3–7th segments globular, 3–4th sometimes cylindrical; club spindle-shaped, widest at middle (Fig. 8).

**Pronotum.** Hardly transverse, sides and disk distinctly convex, apex and basal margins distinctly constricted; readily transverse, sides and disk distinctly convex, apex and basal margins distinctly constricted; straight, in very sparse small punctures visible under magnification. Elytral intervals two times wider than striae. Scutellum distinctly visible from above.

**Legs.** Long and slender, femora without tooth. Prothorax not widened at external side, their external margins straight, internal margin weakly sinuate (Fig. 10). Internal apical angle of prothoracic thorn-shaped, elongated, internal apiical angle of male metastibiae weakly mucronate (Fig. 23). Margins of tibial apex with thin light spines.

**Derivatio nominis.** The genus name is derived from the surname of the famous Italian coleopterologist Ferdinando Solari and the generic name ‘Rhinomias’.

**Distributional records.** The only known species of the genus *Solarhinomias* gen.n. is found in the humid deciduous forests of the Western and Central Caucasus, as well as in the Caucasus Minor (Meskheti Mt.R.) (Fig. 32). Such distribution is typical for other weevil groups earlier studied by us, such as *Otiorynchus* (*Udomedus*) alexeevi Korotyaev, 2002, and species of the genus *Otiorynchus* subgenus *Otiocrinus* Reitter, 1912 (Davidiian & Yunakov 2002). This distribution pattern (despite of the number of other local endemics within this range) demonstrates close faunal links between Central Caucasus and Caucasus Minor in the past.

**Solarhinomias caucasicus** (Stierlin, 1877) comb.n. (Figs. 1, 2, 8, 10, 12, 19–21, 23, 24, 26–28)

*Meira caucasica* Stierlin, 1877: 183; Reitter (1882: 67)

*Rhinomias caucasicus*; Košťál (1988: 162)

*Platytaurus cruciatus* Stierlin, 1879: 431

*Peritelus (Meira) cruciatus*; Stierlin (1883: 601)

*Rhinomias cruciatus*; Formánek (1905: 193); Lona (1938: 430)

**Redescription.** Measurements. Body length 2.25–2.75 mm, width 1.15–1.60 mm.
Tarsi narrow, 2nd tarsomere weakly transverse, 4th tarsomere extending beyond lobes of 3rd for the length of the latter, claws in basal quarter connate (Figs. 19–21).

Body cover. Pronotum with pale piliform scales concentrated on sides. Interstriae with long setae, strongly bristled, distinctly enlarged and rounded at the end. Elytra with very small, dense, pale, dun (greyish-brown) lanceolate scales making diagonal striae of elytra interrupted on disk. Surface of elytra between striae covered with small lanceolate scales. Scales on elytra make spotted pattern, sometimes cruciform. Head with thin hairs and bristled setae. Antennal scape setose, funicle with thin pale hairs. Tibiae with apically widened setae, only their internal margin with thin light hairs.

Abdomen. Male anal ventrite with weak impression in apical quarter, its apical margin weakly concave (Fig. 11). Anal ventrite in female slightly convex, in apical part without impression, its apical margin strongly rounded.

Genitalia $\sigma$. Median lobe of aedeagus strongly elongate, strongly dorso-ventrally curved, hardly shorter than apophyses, apex coracid, weakly sharpened at the end, ventral side of median lobe strongly sclerotized, its membranous area not large, not projecting over basal third of median lobe. Parameres slightly free. Ring of tegmen wide. Endophallus walls in small dents. Basal sclerite large (Figs. 26–28).

Genitalia $\varphi$. Spermatheca large, collum and ramus moderately equal, strongly closing. Cornu strongly falcated, elongate (Fig. 116).


Figs. 1–2. Solarhinomias caucasicus (Stierlin, 1877) comb.n., female, general view. 1: Dorsally. 2: Laterally.
Genus *Turanomias* gen.n.

Type species: *Turanomias yuliae* sp.n.

**Description.** Superficially the new genus looks like the Caucasian *Solarhinomias* gen.n., but it differs well from the latter in the following features: scales on interstriae arranged more densely; antennal scape thin and short, reaching only apical margin of pronotum; feebly marked pterygia; wider rostral dorsum, which is mostly naked and having thin distinct median sulcus; sculpture and shape of pronotum, which is finely and densely punctured and distinctly transverse; disk of pronotum smooth, shining, without longitudinal keel; elytra at disk hardly convex, mesothorax not making sharp projection with elytral base, tarsal claws thin, evenly narrowed to apex, distinctly diverging, connected at base only. Ventrites wider than in *Solarhinomias* gen.n. (Figs. 12, 13) with distinctly elevated, thin long hairs, and well separated punctures.

**Remarks.** The differences in structure of antennae, tarsi and peculiar pubescence characterize the new genus as alpine derivate of a forest inhabiting ancestor (Figs. 5–10, 24, 25, 84, 85).

It is not possible to affiliate the new genus with the existing group of geophilic *Entiminae* represented in Central Asia. Also, a sistergroup relationship of *Turanomias* gen.n. and *Solarhinomias* gen.n. is indicated only preliminary (and rather conventionally), since no closer relatives of these taxa are yet discovered.

**Derivatio nominis.** The genus name is derived from the name of ‘Irano-Turanian’ biogeographic desert subregion, and the generic name ‘*Omias*’. 

---

Batumi, Zeleniy Cape, 50-100 m, *Betula-Rhododendron* forest, 8.iv.1988, D.V. Logunov'; 4♂, 'Adzharia, nr Batumi, Zeleniy Cape, 50-100 m, *Fagus* forest, 7.iv.1988, D.V. Logunov'; 7♂, 'Caucasus <Adzharia> | Meskisches Geb. | Leder (Reitter)' (ZIN, KUMN). All specimens with the exception of 2 specimens from ZMUA in collection of ZIN.
**Turanomias yuliae sp.n.**

**Description.** Measurements. Holotype ♀ body length 2.85 mm, width 1.60 mm. Paratypes 2.6–3.05 mm and 1.40–1.65 mm, respectively.

**Head.** Rostrum weakly narrowed to its middle, longer or as long as wide, pterygia gently projecting over lateral contour of rostrum, well visible from above; rostral dorsum from base to the level of antennal insertion almost parallel-sided, from antennal insertion to apex moderately widened, with weak median sulcus; epistomal plate shining, without dense pubescence, flat, limited by elevated lateral margins of the rostral dorsum; eyes strongly hemispherically convex, their longitudinal diameter 2.5 times as short as width of frons and almost equal to length of temple. Frons in thin, longitudinal, wrinkled punctures.

**Antennae.** Antennal scape thin, reaching the apical margin of pronotum, gently arcuately curved, in apical third sharply widened, 1⁰ antennomere of funicle strongly elongated, moderately widened to apex, with weakly rounded sides, its length twice as much as width, 2⁰ antennomere of funicle weakly elongated, its length 1.5 times as long as wide and 1.5 times as short as length of 1⁰; 3–5⁰ antennomeres spherical; 6, 7⁰ transverse, club conical, widest at base (Fig. 7).

**Pronotum.** Transverse, its lateral sides strongly convex, disk weakly convex, densely and finely shallowly punctured; intervals between punctures shining, almost flat, moderately narrower than diameter of punctures. Pronotum hardly constricted at apex and base. Scutellum glabrous, distinctly visible from above.

**Elytra.** Oval, gently convex from sides, disk clearly flattened. Striae thin, its punctures clearly separated, crosspieces between punctures as long as diameter of punctures, interstriae wide, two times as wide as width of striae, almost flat, smooth, weakly shining, with very sparse micropunctures.
Legs. Thin, long, femora without teeth. Protibiae at apex finely widened to external side, its external margin straight, internal margin weakly sinuate. Inner angle of protibiae elongated. External margin of metatibiae distinctly concave. Apex of tibiae with thin pale spines. (Figs. 9, 25). Tarsi narrow, 2nd tarsomere weakly trans-
verse, 4\textsuperscript{th} tarsomere extending beyond lobes of 3\textsuperscript{rd} for a distance larger than length of latter; claws distinctly divergent, at base connate, thin, evenly narrowed apically (Figs. 16–18).

Body cover. Pronotum with light piliform scales, concentrated on its sides. Apical margin of pronotum and base with fringe consisting of star-form scales. Interstriae with long, strongly convex, apically distinctly widened and rounded setae. Elytra throughout with dense, piliform, greyish-brown scales. Head with thin piliform scales, on frons and basal half of rostral dorsum covered with setae. Antennal scape and funicle with light hairs. Femora and tibiae with apically widened setae, only internal margin of tibiae with thin light hairs.

Abdomen. Ventrites wide, with semierect thin long hairs, punctures well separated, anal ventrite evenly rounded at apex (Fig. 13).

Genitalia \textit{♀}. Spermatheca small. Ramus and collum widely spreaded, ramus clearly larger than collum (Fig. 117).


Genus \textit{Euro sphalmus} \textit{gen.n.}

Type species: \textit{Brachysomus breiti} Formánek, 1909

This genus includes 6 species from the Balkan Peninsula, among which 4 are described as new to science. The type species of the new genus was transferred to the genus \textit{Amicromias} Reitter, 1913 by Košťál (1992) without any comments. Probably his identification was based on Reitter’s (1912) earlier supposition that \textit{Brachysomus breiti} Formánek, 1909 belongs to \textit{Amicromias}. But Reitter in fact did not see this species. In the catalogue of Otiorhynchinae, Lonč (1938) also listed \textit{B. breiti} in the genus \textit{Amicromias}. Solari (1948), when discussing the taxonomic position of \textit{B. breiti}, stressed the distinct difference of this species from the rest of species of \textit{Brachysomus} Schoenherr, 1823 in the structure of rostrum, and also pointed out its similarity with...
**Amicromias. Brachysomus breiti** could not be included in the *Amicromias*, because it has no apomorphies of this genus: long parameres, laciniate apex of aedeagus and constriction beyond of eyes.

**Description.** Measurements. Body length 2.10–3.25 mm, width 1.10–1.75 mm.

Colouration. Body cover colouration from red to deep-brown, legs usually lighter than rest of the body.

Head. Rostrum narrowed anteriad or almost parallel-sided, as long as wide or longer. Pterygia projecting from lateral contour of rostrum. Rostral dorsum at almost all extension parallel-sided or sharply narrowed proximal to the pterygia, in the basal half slightly narrower than frons, gently convex, with more or less developed transverse impression. Frons flat or weakly convex. Eyes large, moderately convex, longitudinal diameter of eye more or almost equal to length of temple, upper margin of eye situated significantly lower or very close to level of frons.

Antennae. Antennal scape arcuately curved evenly or sharply widened to apex, reaching frontal margin of pronotum. 2nd antennomere of funicle longer than 3rd, 3–7th segments transverse. Club egg-shaped.


Legs. Femora sharply club-shaped swollen in middle part. Fore tibiae straight externally, weakly S-shaped sinuate internally, with comb of thin light thorns at anterior margin. Male hind tibiae internally at apex more or less mucronate. Tarsi of males distinctly wider than in females. Claws connate.

Abdomen. Male anal ventrites with impression before apex or flat. Apical margin evenly rounded, covered light hairs, evenly distributed or hairs clustered into bundles.

Body cover. Pubescence double: surface with erect setae and clustered scales, elytral intervals with more or less strongly erected setae and with very small piliform, lanceolate or apically divided scales. Length of erected setae about 1/2 or 2/3 of width of intervals. Bottom with very thin dense piliform scales.

Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly or strongly sclerotised, sharply or evenly narrowed to apex, its apex elongated or sharpened. Ventral wall of median lobe in apical part more or less sclerotized. Apophyses two or three times as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen thin or thick, sharply enlarged to apex or equally thick though all it extend, apically straight or curved. Parameres weakly developed. Internal sack with one or two large sclerites and areas of small thorns at basal half.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with collum reduced to various degree, strongly elongated spindle-shaped ramus, sometimes with developed constriction; cornu thin and strongly elongate, or thick and short.

**Differential diagnosis.** The new genus is most close to the genus *Amicromias*, particular similarity noted in females,

---

Fig. 32. Distribution of *Solarhinomias caucasicus* (Stierlin, 1877) comb.n.
but differs from the latter by the following characters: eyes more flat, large; head not constricted behind eyes; pterygia strongly projecting from lateral contour of rostrum; frons flat; pubescence of elytra sparse, femora more thick. In addition to external characters the new genus also essentially differs in the structure of the male genitalia: tegmen with reduced parameres, basal sclerite of endophallus more elongated, spiculi-formed; ligulae elongated at apex, very closely contiguous. Species of Amicromias have well developed parameres of tegmen, basal sclerite heart-shaped, sometimes weakly subulate elongated; ligulae at apex straight or evenly rounded, at most contiguous only.

Eurosphalmus gen.n. is also similar to the genera Rhinomias and Brachysomus (transsylvanicus group), and, in a number of characters, it has an intermediate position among them. E. zerchei sp.n., E. attilai sp.n. and E. breiti have habitual similarity with Brachysomus (transsylvanicus group), but E. behnei sp.n. and particularly E. dieckmanni – to Rhinomias. Above all, they differ from Rhinomias and Brachysomus (transsylvanicus group) in laminate apex of median lobe of aedeagus, the armature of the internal sack, as well as in the shape of the head. From Brachysomus the new genus also differs in strongly developed pterygia and shape of spermatheca.

**Derivatio nominis.** The genus name is derived from the word ‘Europe’ and the generic name ‘Asphalmus’.

**Distribution records.** Eurosphalmus gen.n. is represented by two lineages of very closely related species. Three representatives of the first lineage, the subgenus Eurosphalmus s.str., inhabit more humid forests of the South Carpathians and the Stara Planina Range from Danube River to the source of Kamchiya River (Figs. 124–126). In the North-West the subgenus Eurosphalmus s.str. is represented by one species, E. attilai sp.n., from South Carpathians (Mekhedintsy Range), and two other species, E. zerchei sp.n. and E. hilfi sp.n., from the western part of the Stara Planina. E. zerchei sp.n. is distributed across this mountain range, while E. hilfi sp.n. is restricted to the highest and most humid part of the Stara Planina (Kaloferska Planina and Trevnenska Planina Ranges) only. In the North-East the range of the subgenus is limited by the distribution of E. breiti Formánek in the Dobrudja. Two species from the subgenus Rhinomiamima subg.n. constitute the second lineage, which inhabits more arid biotopes, namely the western coasts of the Black Sea from Dobrudja to Eminiska Planina (eastern extremity of Stara Planina Range). The species of Eurosphalmus gen. n. inhabit the foothills and lowlands, the highest recorded altitude for them being 1045 m.
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Eurosphalmus (Eurosphalmus) breiti Formánek, 1909 comb.n.
(Figs. 33, 34, 41, 53, 59, 72, 78, 90, 96, 101–103, 119)

Brachysomus breiti Formánek, 1909: 29; REITTER (1912: 17); SOLARI (1948: 28); KOŠTÁL (1988: 162);

Amicromias breiti; LONA (1938: 415); KOŠTÁL (1992: 37)

The species is described from Dobrudja. The lectotype and 3 paralectotypes have been studied.

Description. Measurements. Male body length 2.75–2.90 mm, width 1.35–1.50 mm. Female body length of the single studied specimen 2.75 mm, width 1.50 mm.

Figs. 35–38. 35. Eurosphalmus attilai sp.n., male, holotype, general view. 36. Eurosphalmus zerchei sp.n., male, holotype, general view. 37. Eurosphalmus hilfi sp.n., male, holotype, general view. 38. Eurosphalmus behnei sp.n., male, holotype, general view.
Head. Male rostrum almost indistinctly narrowed anteriorly, as long as wide or moderately longer. Pterygia distinctly projecting from lateral contour of rostrum. Rostral dorsum parallel-sided, moderately narrower than frons, gently convex, with distinct longitudinal scrobe. Frons flat. Eyes moderately convex, with longitudinal diameter of eyes clearly longer than temple, upper margin of eyes situated moderately lower than level of frons. Female rostrum weakly transverse, width and length ratio of rostrum about 1.26.


Pronotum. Weakly transverse, strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at base and apex, with dense large rather shallow punctures and with narrow and convex intervals.

Elytra. Male: oval, weakly convex at disk. Punctures in scrobes deep, finely separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Female: elytra strongly convex from above and from sides widely oval. Tarsi narrow, not longer than those in male. Pubescence double, interstriae with semierected, weakly widened dull light setae, as well as with very small piliform and deeply divided (at sides of elytra) scales. Setae as long as 1/3–1/2 of width of elytral intervals.


Abdomen. Male anal ventrite without impression, evenly rounded at apex, apical margin evenly rounded, with evenly covered pubescence by light, very thin hairs not grouped in, hairs clustered into bundles.

Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, sharply necked-down to apex, sides of median lobe parallel or weakly concave, weakly widened to base of its apical third, its tip elongated. Apophyses 1/3 as long as median lobe. Ligulae weakly sclerotized, ear-shaped and contiguous. Manubrium of tegmen thick, sharply enlarged to apex, curved at end. Endophallus with one large sclerite.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with weakly developed collium, strongly elongated spindle-shaped ramus, with developed constriction.
Differential diagnosis. This species is most close to *E. hilfi* sp.n., but well differs from the latter in thicker antennae (2nd antennomere as long as wide, whereas in *E. hilfi* sp.n. 2nd antennomere slightly elongate), shape of median lobe which has longer elongated apex, very narrowed to apex and elongated as mammiform process. Besides, it seems to be close to *E. attilai* sp.n., but differs in the following characters: rostral dorsum parallel-sided almost along its whole length, at level of antennal insertion apically widened, 2nd segment of funicle hardly longer than 3rd, antennal scape evenly swollen to apex and weakly curved, setae at interstriae weakly erected. Male metatibiae internally at apex with small, but distinct mucro.

Eurosphalmus (Eurosphalmus) attilai sp.n.

(Figs. 35, 43, 44, 56, 62, 68, 76, 80, 89, 95, 98–100, 120)

Description. Measurements. Holotype body length 2.5 mm, width 1.4 mm, paratype 2.5 mm and 1.5 mm, respectively.

Head. Rostrum very slightly narrowed apically, moderately longer than wide. Male pterygia distinctly projecting from contour of lateral side of rostrum, pterygia of female weakly projecting. Rostral dorsum sharply narrowed from base to middle, parallel-sided from middle to apex, being, in this part, moderately narrower than frons, gently convex with distinct median sulcus. Frons flat. Eyes moderately strongly convex, large, longitudinal diameter of eye clearly longer than temple, upper margin of eye situated moderately lower than level of frons.


Pronotum. Weakly transverse, strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at base and apex, widest at middle, with dense large rather shallow punctures with narrow and convex intervals.

Elytra. Oval, at disk weakly convex. Punctures in scrobes deep, distinctly separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Pubescence double: interstriae with erected, apically weakly widened light dull setae, situated in one or two rows, as well as very small piliform and deeply divided (at elytra sides) scales. Setae about 1/2–2/3 as long as width of elytral intervals.

Figs. 71–76. Ventrites, male. 71: Eurosphalmus dieckmanni (Košťál, 1988) comb.n. 72: E. breiti (Formánek, 1909) comb.n. 73: E. hilfi sp.n. 74: E. behnei sp.n. 75: E. zerchei sp.n. 76: E. attilai sp.n.

Abdomen. Male anal ventrite without impression evenly rounded at apex, apical margin evenly pubescent with light very thin hairs, not in bunch.

Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, sharply narrowed to apex, sides of median lobe parallel, its tip elongated. Apophyses 1/3 as long as median lobe. Ligulae weakly sclerotized, conical and contiguous. In the single male specimen, which we had on examination, endophallus and tegmen were found to be destroyed. Therefore these structures are not described here.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with weakly developed collum, strongly elongated spindle-shaped ramus, without constriction.

Differential diagnosis. The new species is most close to *E. zerchei* sp.n., but differs from the latter in longer

and thin antennae (scape in basal half thin, sharply widened to apex, while antennal scape of E. zerchei sp.n. widened before middle); flattened eyes and wider frons; and pronotum evenly convex at sides. Besides it differs in more sinuate male metatibiae and the scaling of body (compared to E. zerchei sp.n., setae at elytra of E. attilai sp.n. distinctly wider and weakly inclined, close-fitting scales on interstriae denser.

E. attilai sp.n. is closely related to E. breiti and differs from the latter by next characters: rostrum upper side sharply narrowed and not widened forward from level of antennal insertion, 2nd segment of funicle significantly longer than 3rd, antennal scape sharply swollen to apex and stronger curved, setae on interstriae more erected. Protibiae in male internally distinctly S-shaped sinuate. Male tarsi strongly widened. Pronotum. Weakly transverse, strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at apex, more or less at constricted base, widest at middle, with dense large rather shallow punctures with narrow and convex intervals. Female with hardly distinct impression at sides of pronotal disk.

Elytra. Oval, weakly convex at disk. Punctures in scrobes deep, distinctly separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Pubescence double: elytral intervals with semi-erected weakly widened dull light setae in one or two rows in males and two rows in females, as well as with very small piliform and deeply divided (on sides of elytra) scales. Setae 1/2–2/3 as long as width of elytral intervals.


Abdomen. Male anal ventrite without impression, evenly rounded at apex, apical margin evenly pubescent by light very thin hairs, not in bunch.

Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, sharply necked-down to apex, median lobe narrow, constrained at sides, 1/3 as short as length of apophyses, apical margin strongly elongated. Ligulae weakly sclerotized, conical, at external margin weakly sharpened and cross. Manubrium of tegmen thick, sharply enlarged to apex, curved at end. Endophallus with one large sclerite and areas of teeth, basal sclerite with needle-shaped process.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with almost straight cornu, strongly developed ramus and so reduced collum that its structure may be seen by ductus receptaculi opening only. Ramus unicameral or multicameral.

Differential diagnosis. The new species is close to E. breiti and E. attilai sp.n., but differs from them in the parallel-sided rostral dorsum and weakly sinuate internally metatibiae of male. From E. breiti it also

**Europhthalmus (Europhthalmus) zerchei sp.n.**

(Figs. 36, 45, 46, 54, 60, 66, 75, 79, 87, 93, 104–106, 122)

**Description.** Measurements. Holotype body length 2.40 mm, width 1.25 mm. Male body length 2.25–2.70 mm, width 1.20–1.45 mm, female length 2.15–3.10 mm, width 1.20–1.75 mm.

Head. Rostrum short, almost parallel-sided, almost as long as wide, in males more elongated and narrower at base than in females. Pterygia weakly projecting from lateral side of contour of rostrum. Rostral dorsum parallel-sided, in male weakly concave laterally (thus upper side seems narrower in female). Frons flat. Eyes moderately strongly convex, large, their longitudinal diameter distinctly longer than temple, upper margin of eye situated significantly lower than level of frons.

Antennae. Antennal scape distinctly arcuately curved and sharply widened apically in its apical third. 2nd segment of funicle almost twice as long as 3rd, 3–7th segments transverse. Club egg-shaped.

Pronotum. Weakly transverse, strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at apex, more or less at constricted base, widest at middle, with dense large rather shallow punctures with narrow and convex intervals. Female with hardly distinct impression at sides of pronotal disk.

Elytra. Oval, weakly convex at disk. Punctures in scrobes deep, distinctly separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Pubescence double: elytral intervals with semi-erected weakly widened dull light setae in one or two rows in males and two rows in females, as well as with very small piliform and deeply divided (on sides of elytra) scales. Setae 1/2–2/3 as long as width of elytral intervals.


Abdomen. Male anal ventrite without impression, evenly rounded at apex, apical margin evenly pubescent by light very thin hairs, not in bunch.

Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, sharply necked-down to apex, median lobe narrow, constrained at sides, 1/3 as short as length of apophyses, apical margin strongly elongated. Ligulae weakly sclerotized, conical, at external margin weakly sharpened and cross. Manubrium of tegmen thick, sharply enlarged to apex, curved at end. Endophallus with one large sclerite and areas of teeth, basal sclerite with needle-shaped process.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with almost straight cornu, strongly developed ramus and so reduced collum that its structure may be seen by ductus receptaculi opening only. Ramus unicameral or multicameral.

Differential diagnosis. The new species is close to E. breiti and E. attilai sp.n., but differs from them in the parallel-sided rostral dorsum and weakly sinuate internally metatibiae of male. From E. breiti it also
Fig. 124. Distribution of Euro sphalmus species.
Symbols:  ● Eurosphalmus (Eurosphalmus) attilai sp.n.;  ● E. (Eurosphalmus) zerchei sp.n.;  ● E. (Eurosphalmus) hilfi sp.n.;  ★ E. (Eurosphalmus) breiti Form.  ■ E. (Eurosphalmus) dieckmanni Koščál;  ▲ E. (Eurosphalmus) behnei sp.n.;  — Borders of mountains ranges.

Fig. 125. Distribution of Eurosphalmus zerchei sp.n.
Differ in stronger bristled setae at interstriae and, distinctly developed micro at internal apical angle of metatibiae. From *E. attilai* sp.n. it differs in shorter and thicker antennae (antennal scape sharply enlarged to apex starting from second 1/4). Froms wider, pterygia stronger developed, eyes flattened.

**Derivatio nominis.** The species named in honour of Dr. L. Zerche.

**Remark.** One specimen from adjacent of Batoshevy Monastery was identified by us as *E. hilfi* sp.n., since it is identical with specimens from Byala River valley. Thus, we suspect that during mounting the respective labels were confused.


**Eurosphalmus (Eurosphalmus) hilfi sp.n.**

(Figs. 37, 49, 50, 55, 61, 67, 73, 81, 88, 94, 107–109, 121)

**Description and differential diagnosis.** Measurements. Holotype body length 2.50, width 1.35 mm. Male body length 2.35–2.80 mm, width 1.25–1.50 mm, female length 2.40–3.25 mm, width 1.35–1.75 mm. This species is closely related to *E. zerchei* sp.n. and *E. breiti* sp.n. It constitutes with them a morphological row, taking in it an intermediate position. For most of the external characters *E. hilfi* sp.n. is similar with its closest relatives.

From *E. zerchei* sp.n. it differs in the larger body size, thinner antennal scape, strongly swollen pronotum at disk and sides, longer anal ventrite, brown coloration, narrower setae in one or sometimes in two rows (*E. zerchei* sp.n. with two or three rows). From *E. breiti* the new species differs in the relatively elongated 2nd segment of funicle 2nd article clearly longer than width, and weaker developed pterygia.

From *E. zerchei* sp.n. and *E. breiti* it differs in the male genitalia: median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, sharply narrowed to apex, sides of median lobe parallel, with weakly elongated tip shorter. Apophyses 1/3 as long as median lobe. Ligulae weakly sclerotized, conical, rounded at apex and contiguous. Manubrium of tegmen thick, sharply enlarged to apex, weakly at apex. Endophallus with one large slerite.

From the same species it also differs in the female genitalia: spermatheca with curved cornu, with strongly developed ramus and reduced colhum looking like small prominence. Ramus multicamer.

**Derivatio nominis.** The species named in honour of the collector M. Hilf.

**Material.** Holotype ♂, Bulgaria, ‘BG: Stara Planina, N-Seite, 1 Bjala Reka-Tal, S Stokite, 1 500 m, 28.vi.1997 42°24′9″N 25°03′23″E leg. Zerche & Behne’ – Paratypes 10♂, 9♀, with the same label as holotype (DEI); 14♂, 6♀, ‘BULGARIA 1912 l Trevna <Tryavna> V-VI 1 leg. M. Hilf l coll. O. Leonhard’ (DEI); 3♂, 1♀, ‘Trevna <Tryavna> Bulgaria’, ‘breiti l det. Formánek’ (NMP); 5 specimens. ‘BULGARIA 1912 Maglige VII-VIII 1 leg. M. Hilf l coll. O. Leonhard’ (DEI, NMP). 11 paratypes in collection of ZIN.

**Subgenus Rhinomiasia subgen.n.**

Type species *Rhinomias dieckmanni* Köstl., 1988

**Description and differential diagnosis.** From *Eurosphalmus* s.str. the new subgenus differs distinctly in the structure of the male genitalia and female terminalia, as well as in some external morphological features. Median lobe of aedeagus strongly sclerotized, evenly narrowed apically and sharpened at apex, without any tracks of lobes, ligulae very small, very weakly sclerotized. Apophyses about twice as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen thin or thick but always equally wide through its whole extent, uncurved. Endophallus with two very large sclerites and areas of small teeth in basal half. Spermatheca with strongly enlarged, straight, spindle-
shaped ramus, collum looking like prominence. Male anal ventrite convex, straight at apex, hairs in bunch. Eyes situated very close to frons surface (while species from the nominative subgenus have eyes significantly lower than surface of frons). Rostral dorsum significantly narrower than frons and stronger convex, distinctly separated from frons by transverse impression. Pubescence of elytral intervals without divided scales.

Externally the species of the new subgenus resemble representatives of the genus *Rhinomias*.

**Derivatio nominis.** The subgenus name is derived from the generic names ‘Rhinomias’ and ‘Omiomima’.

### Eurosphalmus (*Rhinomiamima*) behnei sp. n.

(Figs. 38, 51, 52, 58, 63, 69, 74, 77, 86, 92, 113–115)

The study of the type series of *Rhinomias dieckmanni* Koštel, 1988 led to the discovery of one specimen among the paratypes that in fact belongs to a new species, described below.

**Description.** Measurements. Holotype body length 2.1 mm, width 1.1 mm.

**Head.** Head capsule sharply narrowed anteriad. Rostrum slightly longer than wide. Pterygia hardly projecting from side contour of rostrum. Rostral dorsum parallel-sided, 1.5 times narrower than frons, moderately convex, separated from frons by strong transverse depression. Frons flat. Eyes moderately strongly convex, longitudinal diameter of eye almost as long as temple length and 0.44 as width of frons.

**Antennae.** Antennal scape moderately arcuately curved, in apical third strongly widened. 3–7th segment of funicle transverse. Club egg-shaped.

**Pronotum.** Weakly transverse (ratio of width to length = 1.1), strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at base and apex, with dense large rather shallow punctures with narrow and convex intervals.

**Elytra.** Oval, weakly convex at disk. Punctures in scrobes deep, distinctly separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Pubescence double, interstriae with semi-erected, weakly widened dull light setae, making one row, as well as with very small piliform and deeply divided (at sides of elytra) scales. Setae 1/2–2/3 as long as width of elytral intervals.

**Legs.** Femora sharply club-shaped swollen in middle part. Protibiae externally straight, internally weakly S-shaped sinuate, with comb of thin light small thorns. Male metastibae internally at apex with weak tooth. Male tarsi strongly widened.

**Abdomen.** Apical margin of 1st abdominal ventrite straight, 5th ventrite weakly convex, short: ratio of length to width 1.8.

**Genitalia.** Median lobe of aedeagus strongly sclerotized, evenly narrowed to apex, and sharpened at end, without any tracks of lobes. Apophyses twice as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen thin, with equal width through its whole extension, without clinic. Endophallus with two very large sclerites and areas of small teeth in basal half.

### Eurosphalmus (*Rhinomiamima*) dieckmanni

Koštel, 1988 comb. n.

(Figs. 39, 40, 47, 48, 57, 64, 70, 71, 83, 91, 97, 110–112, 123)

*Rhinomias dieckmanni* Koštel, 1988: 161

The species was described in the genus *Rhinomias*, being externally similar to its representatives. However, detailed comparative morphological investigations indicate that this similarity is only superficial, and is caused by similar adaptations to the soil habitat. The structure of male and female genitalia as well as external morphological features show that *Eurosphalmus dieckmanni* in fact belongs to a different group.

**Description.** Measurements. Male body length 2.10–2.50 mm, width 1.10–1.35 mm; female length 2.50–3.25 mm, width 1.25–1.50 mm.

**Head.** Head capsule sharply narrowed anteriad. Rostrum moderately longer than wide. Pterygia hardly projecting from side contour of rostrum. Rostral dorsum parallel-sided, 1.2 times as narrow as frons, moderately convex, separated from frons by weak transverse impression. Frons flat. Eyes moderately strongly convex, large, longitudinal diameter of eye almost as long as temple and half as long as width of frons.

**Antennae.** Antennal scape moderately arcuately curved, evenly widened to apex, 3–7th segment of funicle transverse. Club egg-shaped.

**Pronotum.** Transverse (ratio of width to length = 1.16–1.40), strongly convex at disk and sides, distinctly constricted at base and apex, with dense large rather shallow punctures with narrow and convex intervals.

**Elytra.** Oval, weakly convex at disk. Punctures in scrobes deep, distinctly separated. Interstriae shining, weakly convex. Pubescence double, interstriae with semi-erected, weakly widened dull light setae, as well as with very small piliform scales. Setae 1/2–2/3 times as long as width of elytral intervals.

**Legs.** Femora sharply club-shaped swollen in middle part. Protibiae externally straight, internally weakly mucronate, with comb of thin light small thorns. Male metastibae internally at apex with weak tooth. Male tarsi strongly widened.

**Abdomen.** Apical margin of 1st abdominal ventrite concave, 5th ventrite strongly convex, long: ratio of length to width 2.1.
Genitalia ♂. Median lobe of aedeagus strongly sclerotized, apically narrowed and weakly sharpened at apex, without any tracks of lobes, weakly sharpened elongated tip plate-shaped. Apophyses almost twice as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen thick, with equal width through its whole extent, without clinch, ligulae rounded at apex. Endophallus with two very large sclerites and areas of small teeth in basal half.

Genitalia ♀. Spermatheca with straight, strongly enlarged siphon-shaped ramus. Collum looking like prominence.

Differential diagnosis. The species is close to E. behnei sp.n., but differs from the latter in thicker antennae, wider rostral dorso- and wider pronotum, concave apical margin of 1st ventrite, more convex and wider 5th ventrite, shape of median lobe and armature of endophallus. Externally it is similar to E. breiti comb.n., but well differs in thicker antennae, wider rostral dorso- without scoobe, weakly convex pterygia, lack of divided scales on elytra, male antennae, wider rostral dorsum without strong transverse i mpression. Lower surface of rostrum and gular area of head make almost right angle. Pronotum strongly transverse (ratio of width to length = 1.16–1.40), front margin of 1st ventrite concave, male anal ventrite with weak cut. Body scale cover: setae on elytra short, weakly inclined, parallel-sided or weakly narrowed to apex, at end sharpened, close-fitting scales on elytral intervals rarefied. ........................................ E. zerchei sp.n.

6. Key to subgenera and species of the genus Eurosphalmus

1 Rostral dorsum not separated from frons by strong transverse impression. Eyes situated significantly lower than surface of frons. Elytral intervals with divided small scales. Median lobe of aedeagus weakly sclerotized, before apex with lobes or at least their tracks. Apophyses about three times as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen clearly swollen and curved at apex. Endophallus with one spiculariform sclerite at apex and with areas of small thorns at almost all surface.

Subgenus Eurosphalmus s str. .............................. 2

1’ Rostral dorsum separated from frons by more or less strong transverse impression. Eyes situated very close to surface of frons. Elytral intervals without divided small scales. Median lobe of aedeagus strongly sclerotized and evenly narrowed to apex and sharpened at end, without any tracks of lobes. Apophyses about two times as long as median lobe. Manubrium of tegmen thin or thick but always equally wide at all extent, not curved at apex. Endophallus with very large sclerites and areas of small teeth in basal half.

Subgenus Rhinomiamima subgen.n. ....................... 5

2 (1) Median lobe of aedeagus with weak lobes before apex, it sides at apex evenly rounded, apex evenly rounded. ................................................. 3

2’ (1) Median lobe of aedeagus with distinct lobes before apex, apex sharply elongated. ......................... 4

3 (2) Antennae thin and long (scape at base half thin, then sharply widened to apex), eyes flattened, pronotum evenly convex at sides. Male metavitta with strong cut. Body scale cover: setae on elytra short, weakly inclined, parallel-sided or weakly narrowed to apex, at end sharpened, close-fitting scales on elytral intervals rarefied. ........................................ E. attilai sp.n.

3’ (2) Antennae wider and shorter (scape widened from second 1/3), eyes strongly convex, pronotum strongly convex at sides, widest behind its middle, male metavitta with weak cut. Body scale cover: setae on elytra short, weakly inclined, parallel-sided or weakly narrowed to apex, at end sharpened, close-fitting scales on elytral intervals rarefied. ........................................ E. hilfi sp.n.

4 (2) 2nd segment of funicle clearly elongate, pterygia weaker developed. Median lobe of aedeagus before apex with less developed lobes, spermatheca with strongly elongated worm-shapes ramus. ............................... E. hilfi sp.n.

4’(2) 2nd segment of funicle short, almost as long as wide, pterygia strongly developed. Median lobe of aedeagus before apex with strongly developed lobes, spermatheca with shortened C-shaped ramus. ............................... E. behnei sp.n.

5 (1) Antennae thick, scape distinctly curved. Rostral dorso- wider, separated from frons by weakly developed transverse impression. Lower surface of rostrum and gular area of head make almost right angle. Pronotum strongly transverse (ratio of width to length = 1.16–1.40), front margin of 1st ventrite concave, male anal ventrite more convex and wider. Aedeagus larger with weakly sharpened elongated plate-shaped tip of median lobe. ............................... E. dieckmanni (Kosil)
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