

Proceedings of the Zoological Institute RAS Vol. 317, No. 1, 2013, pp. 30–44

УДК 564.31(116.2)

FOUR NEW SPECIES AND ONE NEW GENUS OF JURASSIC CHITONS (MOLLUSCA: POLYPLACOPHORA: LEPIDOPLEURIDA) FROM THE MIDDLE RUSSIAN SEA

B.I. Sirenko

Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya Emb.1, 199034 Saint Petersburg, Russia; e-mail: marine@zin.ru

ABSTRACT

Four new species and one new genus: *Leptochiton shapovalovi* Sirenko **sp. nov.**, *L. liapini* Sirenko **sp. nov.**, *L. dellangelloi* Sirenko **sp. nov.**, and *Hoarechiton guzhovi* Sirenko **gen. nov.** et **sp. nov.** are described from the Middle Russian Sea (Jurassic). *L. shapovalovi* is probably a xylophagous chiton because this species was found along with pieces of sunken wood and has an aesthete group very similar to some recent xylophagous species. It speculated that the genus *Leptochiton* was formed under rather temperate or cold conditions in the end of the Paleozoic.

Key words: Leptochiton, Jurassic chitons, Middle Russian Sea, xylophagous

ЧЕТЫРЕ НОВЫХ ВИДА И ОДИН НОВЫЙ РОД ЮРСКИХ ХИТОНОВ (MOLLUSCA: POLYPLACOPHORA: LEPIDOPLEURIDA) ИЗ СРЕДНЕРУССКОГО МОРЯ

Б.И. Сиренко

Зоологический институт Российской академии наук, Университетская наб. 1, 199034 Санкт-Петербург, Россия; e-mail: marine@zin.ru

РЕЗЮМЕ

Четыре новых вида *Leptochiton shapovalovi* Sirenko **sp. nov.**, *L. liapini* Sirenko **sp. nov.**, *L. dellangelloi* Sirenko **sp. nov.** и *Hoarechiton guzhovi* Sirenko **gen. nov.** et **sp. nov.** описаны из юрских отложений Среднерусского моря. *L. shapovalovi*, по-видимому, был ксилофагом, поскольку найден вместе с кусочками затонувшей древесины и эстетная группа этого вида очень похожа на таковую некоторых рецентных видов – ксилофагов. Предполагается, что род *Leptochiton* сформировался в умеренных или холодных водах в конце Палеозоя. **К почение свора:** *Leptochiton* хитоци юрц. Среднерисское море исилофаги.

Ключевые слова: Leptochiton, хитоны юры, Среднерусское море, ксилофаги

INTRODUCTION

About fifty species of Mesozoic chitons were described mainly from Western Europe and also from North America, North Africa and China (Dubar 1948; Smith 1973; Van Belle 1981; Laghy 1982, 2005; Fiedel and Keupp 1988; Geyer and Kukla 1990; Feng Ru-Lin 1992; Kaim 2010). Only one species of chiton from Siberia (Lower Jurassic) was described from the vast territory of Russia (Shurygin 2001). The aim of this paper is to describe new Jurassic species of the genus *Leptochiton*, recorded in Russia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

New collections of chitons from European Russia were made in 2007–2008 by Kiril Shapovalov (Moscow), Vjacheslav Liapin (Moscow) and Alexandr Guzhov (Paleontological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow). The collections were made in the Kostroma Region, Russia (57°59'41''N; 44°00'09''E), in Moscow, Russia (55°44'34''N; 37°26'29''E) and in the Moscow region, Russia (57°45'20''N; 38°18'50''E) (Fig. 1). The valves were found in clay. Standard measurements were taken using ruler in order to find dorsal elevation which is equal to the ratio of the height: width ratio of an intermediate valve (Kaas and Van Belle1985). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used for examination of valves. All valves examined by SEM were observed in high vacuum mode with a platinum coating. Material described in this paper is housed in the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint Petersburg (ZIN).



Fig. 1. Paleogeography of the Middle Jurassic in Europe (modified from Ziegler 1988 and Fernandez-Lopez et al. 2009). Stars are the place where materials were collected.

32

SYSTEMATICS

Class Polyplacophora Gray, 1821 Subclass Loricata Schumacher, 1817 Order Lepidopleurida Thiele, 1909 Family Leptochitonidae Dall, 1889 Genus *Leptochiton* Gray, 1847

Type species. *Chiton cinereus* Montagu, 1803, non Linnaeus, 1767 (= *Chiton asellus* Gmelin, 1791) by subsequent designation (Gray 1847).

Remarks. Analysis of descriptions and drawings of four fossil chitons: Chiton deshayesi Terquem, 1852 (Lower Jurassic), Ischnochiton marloffsteinensis Fiedel et Keupp, 1988 (Middle Jurassic), Pterugochiton sibiricus Shurygin, 2001 (Lower Jurassic) and "leptochitonid polyplacophoran" (Kaim 2010) showed that they belong to the genus Leptochiton because their valves are sculptured very similarly by radial ribs of granules on the head valve, on the lateral areas of intermediate valves and on the postmucronal area of the tail valve, and by longitudinal ribs of granules on the central area of intermediate valves and on the antemucronal area of the tail valve, which is very characteristic of the genus Leptochiton, but not of Ischnochiton. The presence of slits on insertion plates of Ischnochiton marloffsteinensis shown by Fiedel and Keupp (1988) in their drawings (fig. 5 in Fiedel and Keupp 1988) is very doubtful and the broad apophyses are characteristic of Jurassic leptochitons. Smith (1973) also indicated that Chiton deshayesi has "normal structure and sculpture for the genus Lepidopleurus (or Leptochiton) although it may not be that genus". Van Belle (1981) included this species in the genus *Leptochiton* under question. In this way the genus *Leptochiton* together with three newly described species in the Jurassic inhabited a very wide space in the north of Tethys Ocean from France to North Siberia.

During the last 40 years several species that belonged to the genus *Leptochiton* were removed from it and placed in new genera: *Hanleyella* Sirenko, 1973, *Ferreiraella* Sirenko, 1988 and *Nierstraszella* Sirenko, 1992. The genus *Deschayesiella* Carpenter in Dall, 1879 was synonymised with *Leptochiton* by Van Belle (1983), when the latter genus was revised (Sirenko 1997). Several new species of the genus *Leptochiton* were described in recent years. Taking into considerations all changes in the composition of the genus *Leptochiton*, I provide a revised diagnosis of this genus.

Diagnosis. Usually animals of rather small size. Intermediate valves rectangular, side margin rounded. Mucro anterior, submedian or slightly posterior. Tegmentum of intermediate valves divided into central and two lateral areas. Shell surface finely granulose. Granules arranged either in radiating rows on head valve, lateral areas of intermediate valves and postmucronal area of tail valve, or in longitudinal rows on central areas of intermediate valves and antemucronal area of tail valve, or quincuncially on all valves or on some areas of valves. Girdle dorsally covered with spicules or scales with or without fine ribs and scattered among them needles or spines.

Leptochiton shapovalovi Sirenko sp. nov. (Figs. 2–4, 8A)

Diagnosis. Body length up to about 35 mm. Shell subcarinated; rather high. Intermediate valves rectangular, with weakly developed apex and well divided areas. Tail valve with anterior mucro. Underfolded part of tegmentum of head and intermediate valves forming wide and thick ridge inside of posterior margin. Head valve, lateral areas of intermediate valves and postmucronal area of tail valve sculptured with radial ribs of oval flattened granules; radial ribs on lateral areas of intermediate valves interrupted; central area of intermediate valves and antemucrunal area of tail valve sculptured with longitudinal ribs of flattened granules, interstices as wide as chains, the ridges sometimes anastomosing. Each granule contains 1 megalaesthete and 13-26 micraesthetes. Sutural lamina rather wide.

Description. Animal rather large for genus. Shell subcarinated, moderately elevated (dorsal elevation 0.47).

Head valve semicircular, posterior margin widely V-shaped. Intermediate valves roughly rectangular, somewhat obliquely truncated at sides, anterior margin slightly convex, posterior margin almost straight, apices inconspicuous; lateral areas not raised but well marked by difference in sculpture. Head and intermediate valves have wide and thick ridge of underfolded part of tegmentum in their posterior margins. Tail valve semicircular, anterior margin slightly convex, mucro anterior.

Tegmentum sculptured with ribs of oval flattened weakly separated granules. On head valve, lateral



Fig. 2. Leptochiton shapovalovi sp. nov. A, B – paratype dorsal and ventral views, head valve, ZIN 2191; C, D, H – holotype dorsal, ventral and frontal views, ZIN 2190; E – paratype dorsal view, head valve, ZIN 2191; F – paratype dorsal view, intermediate valve, ZIN 2191; G – paratype dorsal view, tail valve, ZIN 2191. Scale bar = 1 mm.

areas of intermediate valves and postmucronal area of tail valve ribs arranged in radial order with very small interstices. On lateral areas radial ribs are not continuous but interrupted. 35–50 ribs on head valve, 12–16 on lateral areas, and 50–60 on central areas present. On central area of intermediate valve and antemucronal area of tail valve, ribs arranged in longitudinal order with interstices as wide as ribs.



Fig. 3. Leptochiton shapovalovi sp. nov. A, B – paratype dorsal view, tail valve, ornamentation of antemucronal and postmucronal areas, ZIN 2191; C, D – paratypes dorsal view, right and left halves of intermediate valves, ornamentation of peural and lateral areas, ZIN 2191.

Sometimes ribs on central areas interrupted and anastomosing. Each granule with 1 megalaesthete and surrounded by semicircle of either 13–16 micraeasthetes (on head valve, lateral areas of intermediate valves and postmucronal area of tail valve) or 18–26 micraesthetes (on central area of intermediate valves) (Fig. 8, A).

Articulamentum well developed, apophyses rather wide, jugal sinus as wide as apophyses.

Type Material. Russia, Kostroma Region: 74 valves (6 head, 64 intermediate and 4 tail valves). Holotype, ZIN 2190 (intermediate valve, Fig. 2, C,D,H) and 73 paratypes ZIN 2191 (2 head valves, Fig. 2, A,B,E, 4,B; 3 intermediate valves, Fig. 2, F; 3,

C,D; 4 A,C,D; 2 tail valves, Fig. 2, G, 3, A, B; 66 valves, unfigured lot), coll. K. Shapovalov and A. Guzhov.

Occurrence. Upper Oxford, Serratum Zone, Subserratum Subzone (Upper Jurassic), right bank of the Unzha River at 0.5 km above the village Mikhalenino, Makarjev District, Kostroma Province, Russia (57°59′41′′N; 44°00′09′′E).

Etymology. The specific name in honor of Kiril Shapovalov (Moscow) who collected part of the material and made it available to the author.

Comparison. Most of the available type material consists of poorly preserved incomplete valves. *Leptochiton shapovalovi* sp. nov. is similar to the Pleistocene *L. finlayi* (Ashby, 1929) in having the radial



Fig. 4. Leptochiton shapovalovi sp. nov. A – paratype ornamentation of central area of intermediate valve, ZIN 2191; B – paratype ornamentation of head valve, ZIN 2191; C – paratype ornamentation of central area, intermediate valve, ZIN 2191; D – paratype, aesthete group on central area of intermediate valve.

ribs of granules on the valve I and the longitudinal ribs of granules with the large interstices between chains on the intermediate valves but differs from it by the subcarinated shell (rounded in *L. finlayi*) and the radial ribs of granules on the lateral areas of the intermediate valves and the postmucronal area of the tail valve (with no any order in *L. finlayi*). The new species differs from other leptochitons known from the Jurassic: *Leptochiton deshayesi* (Terquem, 1852) (Lower Jurassic, France), *L. marloffsteinensis* (Fiedel et Keupp, 1988) (Middle Jurassic, Germany), *Leptochiton sibiricus* (Shurygin, 2001) (Lower Jurassic, Siberia), and *Leptochiton* sp. (Kaim 2010) (Middle Jurassic, Poland) by having the interrupted rows on the lateral areas of the intermediate valves and large interstices between the rows on the central areas of the intermediate valves.

The new species is very similar to some recent xylophagous chitons such as *Leptochiton binghami* (Boone, 1928) and *L. vietnamensis* Sirenko, 1998 by having the numerous micraesthetes in the aesthete group but differs from them by having the radial rows of granules on the lateral areas of the intermediate valves.

Leptochiton liapini Sirenko sp. nov. (Figs. 5, 8, B)

Diagnosis. Intermediate valves broadly rectangular, side margins obtusely rounded, rather flat. Tegmentum of central areas sculptured with 36 longitudinal well-defined ridges with wider flat interspaces; each ridge consists of merged granules. Lateral areas with granules arranged quincuncially. Each granule of tegmentum with 1 megalaesthete, surrounded by either circle of 11-12 micraesthetes (on lateral areas) or semicircle of 11-13 micraesthetes (on central area). Apophyses short and wide.

Description. Head and tail valves not available. Intermediate valve rectangular, rather flat, rounded, anterior and posterior margins practically straight, apex not evident, lateral margins rounded; lateral areas not raised but pronounced by a difference in



Fig. 5. Leptochiton liapini sp. nov. A, G, F – holotype dorsal view, pleural area and lateral area, ZIN 2192; B – paratype, dorsal view, intermediate valve, ZIN 2193; D – paratype, dorsal view, intermediate valve, ZIN 2193; E – paratype, ventral view, intermediate valve, ZIN 2193.



Fig. 6. Leptochiton dellangelloi sp. nov., holotype, ZIN 2194. A, D, F – dorsal, ventral and lateral views; B – ornamentation of postmucronal area; C – ornamentation of antemucronal area; E, ornamentation of antemucronal and postmucronal areas. Scale bar for A, D = 1 mm.

sculpture. Tegmentum of central areas sculptured with 36 longitudinal well-defined raised ridges, interstices wide, twice as broad as ridges; each ridge consists of merged oval granules. Tegmentum of lateral areas sculptured by round granules arranged quincuncially. Each granule of tegmentum with 1 megalaesthete, surrounded either by circle of 11-12 micraesthetes (on lateral areas) or semicircle of 11-13 micraesthetes (on central area) (Fig. 8, B). Surface of tegmentum on lateral area in paratypes

granulated or pitted where abraded indicating presence of aesthete canals.

Articulamentum well developed, apophyses widely separated, short and wide.

Type Material. Russia, Moscow Region: 3 incomplete intermediate valves. Holotype ZIN 2192 (intermediate valve, Fig. 5, A,C,F) and 2 paratypes ZIN 2193 (intermediate valves, Fig. 5, B,D,E), coll. V. Lapin.

Occurrence. Upper Callovian, Lamberti Zone, Paucicostatum subzone (Middle Jurassic), village Timokhovo, Ramensky District, Moscow Province, Russia (57°45′20′′N; 38°18′50′′E).

Etymology. New species named after Vjacheslav Liapin (Moscow) who collected valves and made them available to the author.

Comparison. Leptochiton liapini sp. nov. has the aesthete group (Sirenko 1992) rather similar with Leptochiton saitoi Sirenko, 2001, L. vietnamensis Sirenko, 1998 and some others. It differs from these species of the genus by having the well-defined raised ridges separated by wide interstices on the central area of the intermediate valves.

Leptochiton dellangelloi Sirenko sp. nov.

(Figs. 6, 8, C)

Diagnosis. Shell rather elevated. Tail valve semicircular with anterior mucro, antemucronal and postmucronal slopes almost straight. Tegmentum sculptured with oval or round well-separated granules only slightly raised above tegmental surface. Granules arranged in longitudinal rows on antemucronal area and nearly quincuncially on postmucronal area. Apophyses short and wide.

Description. Animal small in size. Head and intermediate valves not available. Tail valve rather elevated, semicircular; posterior margin evenly rounded; anterior margin convex towards sides, slightly concave in middle; mucro anterior; antemucronal and postmucronal slope almost straight.

Tegmentum evenly granulose and sculptured with oval or round well-separated granules only slightly raised above tegmental surface. On antemucronal area granules rather oval and arranged in longitudinal rows. On postmucronal area round granules arranged nearly quincuncially. Each granule of tegmentum with 1 megalaesthete, surrounded either by circle of 5–6 micraesthetes (on postmucronal area) or a semicircle of 5–6 micraesthetes (on antemucronal area) (Fig. 8, C). Few concentric lines of growth present on valve.

Articulamentum well developed, apophyses rather short, broadly triangular, wide apart, leaving shallow, very wide jugal sinus.

Type Material. Russia, Moscow Region: Holotype ZIN 2194 (tail valve, Fig. 6).

Occurrence. Upper Callovian, Lamberti zone, Paucicostatum subzone (Middle Jurassic), village Timokhovo, Ramensky District, Moscow Province, Russia (55°45′20′′N; 38°18′50′′E).

Etymology. This species was named after my friend Bruno Dell'Angello (Italy) who devoted his life to investigation of fossil and recent chitons.

Comparison. Leptochiton dellangelloi differs from L. sibiricus (Lower Jurassic, Siberia) by having the less developed mucro and straight postmucronal slope. The new species differs from L. marloffsteinensis (Middle Jurassic, Germany), L. liapini (Middle Jurassic, Russia) and L. shapovalovi (Upper Jurassic, Russia) by the less developed and closely situated longitudinal rows in the antemucronal area. The new species also differs from L. liapini and L. shapovalovi by the smaller number of the micraesthetes in the esthete groups.

L. dellangelloi is similar to some recent species such as L. arcticus (G.O. Sars, 1878) by having a similar shape of tail valve and sculpture of tegmentum, but differs from the latter by the number of micraesthetes in an aesthete group (5–6 micraesthetes in L. dellangelloi and 2 in L. arcticus).

Genus Hoarechiton Sirenko gen. nov.

Type species. Hoarechiton guzhovi sp. nov.

Diagnosis. Intermediate valve relatively thick, subtriangular, short and wide. Lateral areas not rise. Tegmentum sculptured with longitudinal grooves in central area, near the side margins grooves become posteriorly converging; jugal and lateral areas smooth. Megal- and micraesthetes distributed evenly on whole surface of tegmentum, granules absent. Articulamentum well developed, apophyses very broad; jugal sinus very narrow; slits or insertion plates absent.

Etymology. The genus was named after Dr. Richard Hoare (Bowling Green State University, Ohio, USA) who has contributed very much to the knowledge of Paleozoic chitons.

Comparison. Grooves on the pleural areas appear similar to those of *Mesochiton* Van Belle, 1975 and



Fig. 7. *Hoarechiton guzhovi* gen. n. et sp. nov. A, C, D – holotype, dorsal view, ZIN 2195; B – paratype, dorsal view, intermediate valve, ZIN 2196; E, F, G – paratype, dorsal, back and ventral views, ZIN 2196. Scale bar for B, D, E, F, G = 1 mm.

Panarthron Laghy, 2005, but the grooves of Hoarechiton are much longer and reach the anterior margin of the valve and near the side margins grooves become posteriorly converging. Moreover, the lateral areas do not rise and the anterior margin of the valves is wavy.

Hoarechiton guzhovi Sirenko sp. nov. (Figs. 7, 8D, E)

Diagnosis. Intermediate valve rectangular, subcarinated anterior margin wavy with jugum protruded anteriorly, posterior margin with little projecting apex. Tegmentum of central area sculptured with 11 longitudinal narrow grooves on each side; these grooves becoming posteriorly converging near side margins; grooves on pleural area reaching anterior margin; 2 grooves near jugal area short; jugal and lateral areas smooth. 6 micraesthetes surrounding 1 megalaesthete in aesthete group present.

Description. Head and tail valves not available. Intermediate valve rectangular, subcarinated, anterior margin wavy with jugum protruding with slightly projecting apex; side margins rounded. Central area sculptured with 11 longitudinal narrow grooves on each side, grooves becoming posteriorly converging near side margins; 2 grooves near jugal area shorter, not reaching anterior margin of valve. Central area without granules but with openings of aesthetes; 1 megalaesthete surrounded by 6 micraesthetes (Fig. 8, D).

Articulamentum distinctly developed, apophyses short and broadly separated by very narrow jugal sinus. Many openings of nerve-fibre of aesthetes under the jugal and lateral areas present like in other lepidopleurids.

Type Material. Russia, Moscow city: 3 incomplete intermediate valves. Holotype, ZIN 2175 (Fig. 7, A, C, D) and 2 paratypes ZIN 2176 (Fig. 7, B, E, F, G).

Occurrence. Middle Volgian, Virgatus zone, Virgatus subzone (Upper Jurassic), Moscow, Kuntsevo, Suvorovskiy Park, Russia (55°44'34''N; 37°26'290''E).

Etymology. The species was named after my colleague A. Guzhov (Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow), who has contributed to the knowledge of Mesozoic molluscs and made studied material available to me.

DISCUSSION

There was climatic zonation in the Northern Hemisphere throughout the Mesozoic (Zakharov et al. 2010). Tropical climatic zone (Biogeographical Tethys – Pantalassa super region) with average water temperature of 25–30 °C was in the near-equatorial part. Subtropical zone (Peritethys) was situated about 45–50°N and to the north of it was a temperate warm boreal climatic zone (Panboreal biogeographical super region). Near the North Pole, the climate ranged from temperate to cold. Boreal paleogeographical region included areas of north-eastern Asia, modern Arctic Islands, Arctic Canada, Nevada and British Columbia. By the early Jurassic the Arctic biogeographical region has become clearly distinct within the Panboreal biogeographical subregion

It is very interesting to analyze the distribution of polyplacophorans in the Northern Hemisphere during Triassic–Jurassic. It appears that in Jurassic the most evolutionary advanced taxa of the genera Allochiton and *Heterochiton* inhabited the tropical climatic zone (Biogeographical Tethys – Pantalassa super region) (Morocco, Sicily, Table 1), whereas the most primitive chitons of the genus *Leptochiton* inhabited subtropical (Peritethys) or boreal climatic zones. At the same time it is necessary to note that the subtropical zone was influenced by boreal fauna owing to mollusk migrations via the Middle Russian Sea during climate temperature fluctuations. The European part of Russia seems to be one of the most suitable areas for the recognition of both the Boreal and Tethyan influences in the Middle - Late Jurassic due to its unique position between the Arctic Basin, Tethys and West Europian shallow seas (Rogov et al. 2009).

Primary distribution of chitons of the genus *Leptochiton* in colder than tropical waters in Jurassic suggests that they have originated in relatively cold water, which is consistent with the distribution of Recent species of the genus. Indeed, of more than one hundred Recent species of the genus Leptochiton only two species (L. nierstraszi Leloup, 1981 and L. muelleri Sirenko et Schwabe, 2011) inhabit warm tropical waters in the Indian ocean and one species (L. hiriensis Schwabe et Lozouet, 2006) from Rapa Isl., French Polynesia. All other species of the genus live in subtropical, Boreal, Natal, Arctic and Antarctic waters or in cold bathyal, abyssal and hadal waters below a warm tropical layer. Probably this genus was formed under rather temperate or cold conditions in the end of Paleozoic. Perhaps one of the first species of the genus Leptochiton is L. dalriensis (Etheridge, 1882) from Scotland, Lower Carboniferous. This species was erroneously included in the genus *Cymathochiton* by Van Belle (1981), in spite of a non-triangular form of its valves and the presence of granulated tegmentum. Two more species of Leptochiton [L. davolii (Laghi, 2005) and L. reiectus (Laghi, 2005)] are known from northern Italy, Upper Triassic.

One of the new species (*L. shapovalovi*) has an aesthete group with the number of micraesthetes very similar to recent xylophagous chitons: *L. binghami* and *L. vietnamensis*. It is necessary to note that *L. shapovalovi* was found in clay with numerous



Fig. 8. Aesthete elements of four lepidopleurid species and reconstruction of valve. A – *Leptochiton shapovalovi* sp. nov., aesthete elements of central area of intermediate valve (left) and of head valve (right); B – *Leptochiton liapini* sp. nov., aesthete elements of lateral area (left) and central area (right); C – *Leptochiton dellangelloi* sp. nov., aesthete elements of lateral area (above) and central area (below); D, E – *Hoarechiton guzhovi* gen. n. et sp. nov., aestete group of central area (D) and reconstruction of intermediate valve (E). Scale bar: A–D = 100 μ m, E = 1 mm.

	Table 1.	Eurasian	Trassic and	Jurassic chiton	s with known genus	
--	----------	----------	-------------	-----------------	--------------------	--

Species	Age	Locality	References
Allochiton altus Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
A. costulatus Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
A. gemellaroi Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
<i>A</i> . sp.	Lower Jurassic	Morocco	Dubar 1948
Heterochiton buccai Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
H. compressus Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
H. giganteus Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily, Morocco	Fucini 1912; Dubar 1948
H. zitteli Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily, Morocco	Fucini 1912; Dubar 1948
H. vivassai Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
<i>H</i> . sp.	Lower Jurassic	Morocco	Dubar 1948
Leptochiton davolii (Laghi, 2005)	Upper Triassic	Northern Italy	Laghi 2005
L. reiectus (Laghi, 2005)	Upper Triassic	Northern Italy	Laghi 2005
L. deshayesi (Terquem, 1852)	Lower Jurassic	France	Terquem 1852
L. sibiricus (Shurygin, 2001)	Lower Jurassic	Siberia	Shurygin 2001
L. morloffsteinensis (Fiedel et Keupp, 1988)	Middle Jurassic	Germany	Fiedel and Keupp 1988
L. shapovalovi sp. nov.	Upper Jurassic	Kostroma Region, Russia	Present paper
L. liapini sp. nov.	Middle Jurassic	Moscow Region	Present paper
L. dellangelloi sp. nov.	Middle Jurassic	Moscow Region	Present paper
<i>L</i> . sp.	Middle Jurassic	Poland	Kaim 2010
Hoarechiton guzhovi sp. nov.	Upper Jurassic	Moscow, Russia	Present paper
Mesochiton busambrensis (Fucini, 1912)	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
M. dolomiticus Laghi, 2005	Upper Triassic	Northern Italy	Laghi 2005
M. zardinii Laghi, 1982	Upper Triassic	Northern Italy	Laghi 1982
Panarthron triadicum Laghi, 2005	Upper Triassic	Northern Italy	Laghi 2005
Pterygochiton terguemii Eudes-Deslongchamps, 1859	Lower Jurassic	France	Eudes-Deslongchamps 1859
P. destefanoi Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912
P. murificus Fucini, 1912	Lower Jurassic	Sicily	Fucini 1912

pieces of fossil wood. A. Guzhov (personal communication) said that along with the valves of this species of chiton there were many belemnites and the gastropod *Dragonia* sp., which is associated with biotopes of "sunken wood", because it is a wood borer. It is possible that *L. shapovalovi* was also a Jurassic xylophagous chiton like *Leptochiton* sp. from Poland (Middle Jurassic) (Kaim 2010).

Group of species of other Triassic and Jurassic lepidopleurids from the genera Mesochiton, Pterygochiton, Panarthron, Hoarechiton and Schizoproctus (Table 1) have thick shells with an unusual structure of tegmentum. They are very different from the genus Leptochiton. The genera Mesochiton and Panarthron have short grooves on the border of pleural and lateral areas, like the genera of the families Loricidae and Callochitonidae. *Hoarechiton* has long grooves a little bit similar to the genus Stenosemus. The genus Pterygochiton has the structure similar to the genera Ischnochiton and Stenosemus. Schizoproctus has a deep fissure on the tail valve like Incissiochiton and Schizochiton. But all of them distinctly differ from above mentioned genera and families of the order Chitonida by not having slits and insertion plates characteristic of the family Lepidopleuridae. It is difficult to say whether or not some of them were ancestral forms of the above mentioned advanced group of chitons of the order Chitonida.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am greatly indebted to K. Shapovalov (Moscow), V. Liapin (Moscow) and A. Guzhov (Paleontological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow) who collected the materials and made the specimens available for my study, to Dr. R. Hoare (Bowling Green State University, Ohio, USA) and an editor of the Proceedings of the Zoological Institute for correcting my English. Drs A. Guzhov and J. Pojeta (U. S. Geological Survey and Department of Paleobiology, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, USA) also critically read and improved an early draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Ashby E. 1929. New Zeland fossil Polyplacophora (Chitons). Transections and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute, 60: 366–369.
- Boone L. 1928. Scientific results of the first Oceanographic Expedition of the "Pawnee", 1925. Mollusca from tropical East American seas. *Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanograpic Collection*, 1(3): 1–20.

- **Dall W.H. 1878.** Descriptions of new forms of mollusks from Alaska contained in the collections of the National Museum. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum*: **1**: 1–3.
- Dall W.H. 1879. Report on the limpets and chitons of the Alaskan and Arctic regions, with descriptions of genera and species believed to be new. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, 1: 281–344.
- Dall W.H. 1889. Report on the results of dredgins, under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, in the Gulf of Mexico (1877–78) and in the Caribbean Sea (1879– 80), by the U.S. coast survey steamer "Blake", Lieutenant-Commander C.D. Sigsbee, U.S.N., and Commander J.R. Bartlett, U.S.N., commanding, 29, Report on the Mollusca. 2 Gastropoda and Scaphopoda. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, 18: 1–492.
- Dubar G. 1948. La faune domérienne du Jebel Bou-Dahar, près de Béni-Tajjite. Notes et mémoires du Service géologique du Maroc, 68, 250 p.
- Etheridge R. 1882. A contribution to the study of the British Carboniferous Chitonidae. Proceedings of the National History Society of Glasgow, 5(1): 84–107.
- Eudes-Deslongchamps M. 1859. Note sur une nouvelle espèce d'Oscabrion fossile, *Chiton terquemii*, du Lias moyen de May (Calvados). *Bulletin de la Société Linnéenne de Normandie*, 4: 13–17.
- Feng Ru-Lin. 1992. First Discovery of Middle Triassic Polyplacophora (Mollusca) in China. Acta Paleontologica Sinica, 31(6): 725–729.
- Fernandez-Lopes S.R., Pavia G., Erba E., Guiomar M., Henriques M.H., Lanza R., Mangold C., Morton R., Olivero D., Tiraboschi D. 2009. The Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for base of the Bathonian Stage (Middle Jurassic), Ravin du Bès Section, SE France. *Epizodes*, **32**(4): 222–248.
- Fiedel U. and Keupp H. 1988. Ischnochiton marloffsteinensis n. sp., eine Polyplacophore aus dem fränkischen Lias. Paläontologisch Zeitschrift, 62(1/2): 49–58.
- Fucini A. 1912. Polyplacophora del Lias Inferiore della Montagna di Casale in Sicilia. *Paleontographica Italica*, 18: 105–127.
- Geyer G. and Kukla P.A. 1990. An unusual new Lepidopleurid Polyplacophoran (Mollusca) from the Germanic Muschelkalk (Triassic). *Journal of Paleontology*, 64(2): 222–228.
- Gmelin J.F. 1791. Caroli a Linné Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, Editio decima tertia, aucta reformata. Tome 1, pars 6, Vermes Testacea, Genus 300, Chiton. G.E. Deer, Leipzig: 3202–3207.
- Gray J.E. 1821. A natural arrangement of Mollusca according to their internal structure. London Medical Repository, 15: 221–239.
- Gray J.E. 1847. Additional observations on chitons. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, 15: 126–127.

- Haddon A.C. 1886. Report on the Polyplacophora collected by H.M.S. "Challenger" during the years 1873– 1876. *Challenger Reports*. 15(43): 1–50, pls 1–3.
- Kaim A. 2010. Non-actualistic wood-fall associations from Middle Jurassic of Poland. *Lethaia*, 44: 109–124.
- Laghi G.F. 1982. Crenatolorica zardinii n. gen., n. sp. of polyplacophoran from St. Cassian Beds (Dolomites, Italy). Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana, 20(2): 155–158.
- Laghi G.F. 2005. Upper Triassic chitons from the Italian dolomites. Lavori – Società Veneziana di Scienze Naturali, 30: 79–84.
- Leloup E. 1981. Chitons de Tuléar, Réunion, Maurice et Tahiti. Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique. 53(3): 1–46.
- Linnaeus C. 1767. Systema Naturae, Tom. I., Pars II. Laurentius Salvius, Stockholm: 533–1327.
- Lovén S.L. 1846. Index molluscorum litora Scandinaviae Occidentalia habitantium. Öfversigt af Kungliga. Vetenskaps – Akademiens Forhandlingar Stockholm. 3: 134–160.
- Montagu G. 1803. Testacea Brittanica, or natural history of British shells, marine, land, and fresh-water, including the most minute: Systematically arranged and embellished with figures. Romsey, London: 292 p. (vol. 1), 293–606 (vol. 2).
- Pilsbry H.A. 1892–94. Monograph of the Polyplacophora. In: G.W. Tryon, (Ed.) Manual of Conchology. 1 Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, 4:1–128, pls 1–30 (1892); i-xxxiv, 129–350, pls 31–68 (1893); 15: 1–64, pls 1–10 (1893); 65–133, pls 11–17 (1894).
- Rogov M., Zakharov V. and Kiselev D. 2009. Molluscan immigration via biogeographical ecotone of the Middle Russian Sea during the Jurassic. *Volumina Jurassica*, 6(6): 143–152.
- Sars G.O. 1878. Mollusca regionis Arcticae Norvegiae. Brøgger, Christiania. 466 p.
- Schwabe E. and Lozouet P. 2006. Chitons (Mollusca, Polyplacophora) from Rapa, the southern island of Polynesia. Zoosystema, 28(3): 617–633.
- Shurygin B.N. 2001. First record of Polyplacophora from the Mesozoic (Pliensbachian) of Siberia. News of paleontology and stratigraphy 4. Geology and geophysics, 42(Supplement): 49–51. [In Russian]
- Sirenko B.I. 1973. A new genus of the family Lepidopleuridae (Neoloricata). Zoological Zhurnal, 52(10): 1569–1571. [In Russian]

- Sirenko B.I. 1988. A new genus of deep sea chiton Ferreiraella gen. n. (Lepidopleurida, Leptochitonidae) with a description of a new ultra-abissal species. Zoological Zhurnal, 67(12): 1776–1786. [In Russian]
- Sirenko B.I. 1992. Nierstraszellidae fam. nov. a new family of chitons (Polyplacophora, Lepidopleurida) from the bathyal Western Pacific. *Ruthenica*, 2: 81–90.
- Sirenko B.I. 1997. Position in the system and the origin of deepwater chitons of family Ferreiraellidae (Mollusca, Polyplacophora). *Ruthenica*, 7(2): 77–89.
- Sirenko B.I. 1998. One more deep water chiton Leptochiton vietnamensis sp.nov. (Mollusca, Polyplacophora) living and feeding on sunken wood from the South China Sea. Ruthenica, 8(1): 1–6.
- Sirenko B.I. 2001. Deep-sea chitons (Mollusca, Polyplacophora) from sunken wood off New Caledonia and Vanuatu. *Memories du Museum national d'Histoire naturelle*, 185: 39–71.
- Sirenko B.I. and Schwabe E. 2011. Description of a minute chiton (Mollusca, Polyplacophora) from Sri Lanka. *Ruthenica*, 21(2): 113–121.
- Smith A.G. 1973. Fossil chitons from the Mesozoic a checklist and bibliography. Occasional papers of the California Academy of Sciences, 103: 1–30 p.
- Terquem O. 1852. Note sur un Oscabrion fossile du terrain liasique du département de la Moselle. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, **2**(9): 386–388.
- Thiele J. 1909. Revision des Systems der Chitonen, Part I. Zoologica Stuttgart, 22: 1–70.
- Van Belle R.A. 1975. Sur la classification des Polyplacophora. Informations de la Societe Belge de Malacologie. Ser. 4(5): 121–131; Ser. 4(6): 135–145.
- Van Belle R.A. 1981. Catalogue of fossil chitons (Mollusca: Polyplacophora). Dr. W. Backhuys, Publisher, Rotterdam, 83 p.
- Van Belle R.A. 1983. The systematic classification of the chitons (Mollusca: Polyplacophora). Informations de la Societe Belge de Malacologie, 11: 1–178.
- Zakharov V.A., Rogov M.A. and Bragin N.Yu. 2010. Mesozoic of the Russian Arctic: stratigraphy, biogeography, paleoclimate. In: Yu.G. Leonov (Ed.) Contribution of Russia in the International Polar Year 2007/08. Structure and history of development of lithosphere. Paulsen Editions, Moscow, St. Petersburg: 331–383.
- Ziegler P.A. 1988. Evolution of the Arctic-North Atlantic and the Western Tethys. American Association Petrolium Geologists Memoir, 43: 1–198.

Submitted June 27, 2012; accepted February 1, 2013.