Proc. Zool. Inst. Russ. Acad. Sci, 299, 2003: 39-50

Distribution and larval development in the horse mussel Modiolus modiolus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) from the White Sea

Ludmila P. Flyachinskaya & Andrew D. Naumov

Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab., I, St.Petersburg, 199034, Russia

Modiolus modiolus is an important species in the White Sea benthos. It is widely spread mostly in the Onega and Mezen Bays, but it can be encountered in other regions of the sea as well. In the Dvina Bay, this species has not been found yet. Horse mussel often plays a role of leading form in numerous sea bottom communities and sometimes it biomass reaches 5 kg/m^3 . Nevertheless, there are only few papers dealing with its distribution in the White Sea (Ivanova, 1957; Kudersky, 1962, 1966; Golikov *et al.*, 1985; Fedyakov, 1988).

The reproductive cycle of *Modiolus modiolus* was first described by Seed and Brown (1977) in histological study of specimens from Stranford Lough, Northern Ireland. The reproductive cycle of *M. modiolus* from the White Sea was studied only by Kaufman (1977). As a result of his studies, Kaufman concluded that spawning began in this population in June and July.

First descriptions of M. modiolus larvae can be found in the papers of Jørgensen (1946). In his references J0rgensen stated that larvae of this species have not been identified with certainty in plankton samples taken in Danish waters. He thinks that this is due to the very close resemblance of M. modiolus to veliger of Mytilus edulis.

Later, Rees (1950) stated that in the smallest veligers of M. modiolus and M. edulis the shape is similar, although in the former the narrow end is less pointed. Nevertheless, in later stages the shapes of two species become more different.

Identification of bivalve larvae and early post-larvae has been extremely difficult. The most detailed description of laboratory cultured *M. modiolus* larvae from the straight-hinge to metamorphosis, including photographic and statistical comparison with *M. edulis*, was given by Schweinitz and Lutz(1976).

The present study provides distribution and life conditions, an account of reproductive cycle of M. modiolus in the White Sea, development of M. modiolus larvae from egg to metamorphosis and comparison of Mytilus and Modiolus post-larvae.

Materials and methods

Material was collected since 1981 from all over the White Sea excluding the Voronka from on board of the White Sea Biological Station R/Vs "Kartesh" and "Professor Vladimir Kuznetsov" using Petersen's grab with working square 0.25 m². The sediment was washed out by seawater on a sieve with the mesh size of 1 mm. The samples were sorted in laboratory; all the organisms were identified, counted and weighted with accuracy within 0.001 g. All data were reduced to 1 m². Depth at the sampling point was measured by echo sounding. The sediment temperature was measured using mercury thermometer to one decimal point. Water for salinity investigation was sampled by Nansen's bathometer within one-meter-thick bottom layer. Salinity was measured by density to 0.1 ‰. All the data obtained were stored in "The White Sea Benthos" database.

Data on *M. modiolus* spat settling were obtained experimentally. The defuanated sea belt *Laminaria saccharina* thalli were put in bags made of nylon net, mesh size 40 mm, which were placed on the sea floor at a depth of approximately 25 m. Five substrata with 6 bags each were installed near the White Sea Biological Station June 26, 2001. Thrice one by one substrata were removed: on October 3 and November 3, 2001 and August 12, 2002.

Fouling organisms settled on the sea belt thalli were washed out using a sieve with mesh size of 0.5 mm, identified, counted and weighted to 0.001 g. Their abundance was reduced to 1 kg of substratum mass. Data obtained in 2001 were pooled.

Sexually mature adult *M. modiolus* were obtained during June and July from various locations of the Chupa Inlet (Kandalaksha Bay) in water depth ranging from 7 to 15 m and were placed in a salt water at approximately 12 °C. Attempts to spawn these bivalves using standard techniques developed for other molluscs (Lossanov & Daevis, 1964) were unsuccessful. In three cases, however, horse mussels kept in aquarium spawned without artificial stimulation.

Larvae were cultured in polyethylene containers at a density of about 15-20 individuals per millilitre, with recurrent aeration. Water temperature ranged from 11.0 to 12.5 °C and conformed to the temperature in the White Sea. Salinity was 25‰, which also conformed to conditions in the sea. Lar-

vae that reached a veliger stage were fed daily with *Dunaliella* sp. and *Iso-chrisis* sp. (Lossanov & Daevis, 1964). Larvae on a veliger and pediveliger stages were collected from plankton for comparison with artificially reared larvae.

All length measurements and micrographs in this study were obtained from whole larvae or post-larvae, taking care to achieve representative larval orientation. Measurements were made with a compound microscope equipped with an ocular micrometer and are considered accurate within $1 / \mu m$. All the data were processed using linear statistics. The diversity statistic in terms of biomass was calculated as Shannon's-Weaver's one.

The oligomixness of communities in terms of biomass was computed using the formula

$$I_0 = \frac{\sigma_B}{B} \sqrt{S} \times 100,$$

where I_o is an oligomixness statistic, $\sigma_{\rm B}$ is a standard deviation in species biomass array, *B* is a total biomass of the community, 5 is a species number in the community (Naumov, 1991).

Results and discussion

Life conditions of Modiolus modiolus in the White Sea. Our data do not allow defining a preferable type of sediment for M. modiolus. Fedyakov (1986, 1988) points out, that it mostly can be found on the rocky or sandy bottom. Other environmental characteristics according to our material are displayed in Table 1.

Besides few encounters of some small individuals at the depths up to 140 m, the most part of finds are related to depth 7 to 40 m. This depth can be considered as a common one for this species. Kudersky (1962) does not indicate at what a depth he found *M. modiolus* and only points out, that it is associated with the slope between shallows and relatively deep space. According to Golikov's data (Golikov *et al.*, 1985), this species in the Onega Bay can be found at depths 12 to 35 m, while in the Basin at 10 to 55 m. In the Kandalaksha Bay, it was found at depths 4 to 50 m (Fedyakov, 1988).

In our material, the maximum density (276 ind./m^2) in *M. modiolus* was found in Zapadnaya Solovetskaya Salma Strait at a depth of 30 m on the silty sands, while the maximal biomass (5420 g/m^2) - near Bol'shaya Muksalma Island at a depth of 10 m on the pebble bottom. The average magnitudes of abundance in *M. modiolus* are presented in Table 2. In our material, average biomass in this species in different communities exceeds val-

ues represented in literature. In community Modiolus modiolus + Verruca stroemia, Onega Bay, we found its biomass equal to 627 ± 384 g/m² in community Modiolus modiolus + Chlamys islandicus, Onega Bay, - 2729 \pm 1937 g/m²; in community Modiolus modiolus, Mezen' Bay, - 579 \pm 492 g/m². According to Kudersky's data (1962), its biomass in Modiolus modiolus + Cirripedia community is 419 g/m². Golikov *et al.* (1985) mark 250 \pm 109 g/m² for community Modiolus modiolus + Verruca stroemia, Basin, and 322 \pm 81 g/m² for community Modiolus modiolus + Hydrallmania falcata, Onega Bay. Fedyakov (1988) notifies its biomass being equal 380 \pm 310 g/m² in community Modiolus modiolus, Kandalaksha Bay.

Table 1. Living conditions of Modiolus modiolus in the White Sea

Region	Depth range (m)	Summer tempera- ture range (°C)	Salinity range (‰)
Kandalaksha Bay	16÷98	-1.2+4.6	27.0÷29.0
Onega Bay	7÷55	6.0+8.5	No data
Dvina Bay		Not found	
Mezen' Bay	16÷22	6.1÷7.9	25.4÷28.1
Basin	10	No data	No data
Gorlo Strait	15÷140	4.0÷8.7	27.2÷30.1
The entire sea	7÷140	-1.2÷8.7	25.4÷30.1

Table 2. Abundance in Modiolus modiolus from the White Sea

Region	Number of benthic stations	Number of find- ings	Occurrence (%)	Average biomass in communities (g/m ²)	Average density in communities (ind./m ²)
Kandalaksha Bay	393	4	1.02	0.047	23.3
Onega Bay	129	10	7.75 ± 2.35	106.149 ± 53.559	7.6 ± 3.2
Dvina Bay	170	0		Not found	
Mezen' Bay	29	5	17.24	579.413	41.4
Basin	46	1	2.17	157.000	20.0
Gorlo Strait	35	6	17.14 ± 6.37	59.633 ± 53.957	25.3 ± 10.2
The entire sea at depth range of 7 to 40 m	300	22	7.33 ± 1.50	847.212 ± 322.354	69.6 ± 17.9

Biotic surrounding of Modiolus modiolus in the White Sea. In the Onega and Mezen' Bays, if found, *M. modiolus* dominates in every benthic station. Two kinds of such communities were encountered in the Onega Bay. One of them includes Cirripedia as subdominant forms, while scallop *Chlamys islandicus* plays this role in the second one. Both of them were found at depths of 7 to 50 m on the gravel sediment. In the Mezen' Bay, only communities with subdominating Cirripedia were found at depths of 16 to 22 m on sandy, pebble and mixed deposits. Kudersky (1962) describes closely related community. On the other side, he did not find the assemblage where scallop is a subdominant species. This author considers such community to be common only for the Barents Sea. Golikov with co-authors (1985) did not find such community as well.

The polymixous communities of M. modiolus from the Onega Bay are much richer in species composition and have higher biomass than mesomixous assemblage from the Mezen' Bay (Table 3). The biogeographic structure of these communities is rather similar, widely spread Boreal and Arctic-Boreal species predominating everywhere, although their proportion is different. The trophic structure does not differ in communities found within the scope of observation error. The only exception is, that there were found numerous algae in Modiolus modiolus + Verruca stroemia community from the Onega Bay. In the all three communities, sessile epifauna makes more that 90% of total biomass. Communities Modiolus modiolus + Verruca stroemia described by Kudersky (1962) and Golikov with co-authors (1985) resemble that one from our material.

Demographic structure in Modiolus modiolus from the White Sea. It should be stressed that in mentioned above communities, we have never found *M. modiolus* juveniles. Moreover, they are very seldom and thin in our benthic samples at all. Size-frequency plots found in literature (Brown & Seed, 1978; Comely, 1978; Anwar *et al.*, 1990) show, that in most cases molluscs of elder ages predominate in populations inhabiting waters surrounding British Islands.

In our material, this species is represented mostly by big individuals having average mass 12.269 ± 3.220 g. Even molluscs weight about 1 g can be found rather seldom. Juveniles of *M. modiolus* were observed in the Kandalaksha Bay and in the Gorlo Strait in few benthic stations within different polymixous and mesomixous communities (oligomixness statistic 25.58 to 67.55%). In most cases, there were single individuals weighting on average 0.002 g. In two sites only, in Zapadnaya Ryazhkova Salma Strait

\$2
0
3
i i
õ.
0
~
1
C
8
-
0
0
et
22
60
50
2
1
0
774
8
24
2
2.0
14
12
0
1000
a
0
4
-
-
+
* 200
3
20
-
60
m
-
10
8
3
\geq
P
C
55
3
33 31
sga a
nega a
)nega a
Onega a
e Onega a
ne Onega a
the Onega a
the Onega at
in the Onega at
in the Onega a
is in the Onega a
ies in the Onega au
ties in the Onega a
nities in the Onega a
inities in the Onega a
nunities in the Onega a
munities in the Onega a
mmunities in the Onega a
mmunities in the Onega a
ommunities in the Onega a
communities in the Onega a
1 communities in the Onega at
m communities in the Onega a
om communities in the Onega a
ttom communities in the Onega a
ottom communities in the Onega a
pottom communities in the Onega a
bottom communities in the Onega a
of bottom communities in the Onega a
of bottom communities in the Onega a
s of bottom communities in the Onega a
es of bottom communities in the Onega a
res of bottom communities in the Onega a
ures of bottom communities in the Onega a
itures of bottom communities in the Onega a
catures of bottom communities in the Onega a
features of bottom communities in the Onega a
features of bottom communities in the Onega a
n features of bottom communities in the Onega a
iin features of bottom communities in the Onega a
lain features of bottom communities in the Onega a
Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
e 3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
le 3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
ble 3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
able 3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a
Table 3. Main features of bottom communities in the Onega a

Characteristics	Onega Bay		Mczen' Bay
	Modiolus + Verruca (in brackets, after Kudersky (1962); Golikov et al. (1985)	Modiolus + Chlamys	Modiolus
Species number	179 (52; 47)	167	96
Biomass, g/m ²	1770.051 (804.2; 762.3)	4454.840	673.459
Diversity, bit/g	3.829 (0.856; 2.783)	2.264	1.171
Oligomixness, %	38.86 ± 2.15 (53.16 ± 6.18; 47.16 + 4.86)	64.28 ± 3.76	85.97 ± 6.20
	Biogeographic composition i	n terms of biomass, %	
Boreal species	4.15 ± 0.47	0.37 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.34
Atlantic Boreal species	4.41 ± 0.28	1.74 ± 0.20	2.10 ± 0.55
Widely spread-Boreal species	36.24 ± 1.14	63.15 ± 0.72	86.31 ± 1.32
Arctic-Boreal species	36.09 ± 1.14	31.17 ± 0.69	6.43 ± 0.95
Atlantic Arctic-Boreal species	1.57 ± 0.30	0.35 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.09
Pacific Arctic-Boreal species	0.01 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.04	0
Arctic species	0.72 ± 0.20	1.02 ± 0.16	0.05 ± 0.09
Species of unknown origin	16.82 ± 0.89	2.11 ± 0.22	4.97 ± 0.84
	Trophic composition in te	arms of biomass, %	
Autotrophous	9.72 ± 0.70	0.04 ± 0.03	0
Phytophagous	0.04 ± 0.05	0.01 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.07
Filter feeders	87.23 ± 0.79	96.91 ± 0.26	97.81 ± 0.56
Deposit feeders	1.08 ± 0.25	2.08 ± 0.21	- 1.11 ± 0.40
Carnivorous	1.23 ± 0.26	0.25 ± 0.07	0.60 ± 0.30
Omnivorous	0.70 ± 0.20	0.71 ± 0.13	0.43 ± 0.25
Species of unknown feeding		0	0.01 ± 0.04

(Kandalaksha Bay) at the place of fairway dragging and at the transection Voronov Cape - Danilov Cape (the Gorlo Strait), a notable number of spat was encountered. In both cases, the depth was 15 to 30 m, rocky or sandy deposits predominating. The sea floor communities consisted of species associated with sites having rather strong bottom currents.

One can consider three reasons to explain, why so rare juveniles are in our material. On the one hand, it is possible that spat passes through the sieve with one-mm large mesh size. On the other hand, spat settling may take place at shallows, where sampling by R/V is impossible. The last reason is that our sampling was carried out during the time when there is no spat settling. All three explanations, however, should be rejected. Even if spat passes through the sieve, juveniles of last year settling should be found in the community. Investigations at a depth less than 7 m (the minimum depth for our R/Vs) by SCUBA diving did not get any evidence neither of M. modiolus spat settling nor presence of 1-2-year-old juveniles. If the spat settling takes place, e.g., in winter, nevertheless, the older juveniles should be found. In spite of absence of juveniles in bottom communities, there are a large number of M. modiolus larvae in plankton. Thus, the only probable explanation is that spat settling and growth of juveniles take place in narrow localities, which have not been studied yet, and young molluscs migrate towards their definitive sites later.

Taking into account, that we have found some juveniles in places with strong bottom currents at the depth range about 15 to 30 m, we have tried to get *M. modiolus* spat at the similar locality experimentally. Substrata prepared as said above were put on the silty-gravel bottom near base of Sukhaya Cliff in the Chupa Inlet (Kandalaksha Bay). During first three months *M. modiolus* spat settled on the substrata (biomass $0.472 \pm 0.466 \text{ g/kg}_{\text{mehr}}$ density $6.7 \pm 4.9 \text{ ind./kg}_{\text{mehr}}$). In 2002, spat settling was recorded as well. Individuals of 2000, 2001 and 2002 years settling were found on the substrata (biomass $0.045 \pm 0.005 \text{ g/kg}_{\text{mehr}}$, density $54.6 \pm 5.7 \text{ ind./kg}_{\text{mehr}}$). The presence of 2(+)-years-o!d juveniles indicates an active migration ability in young horse mussel. For the shell length growth see Fig. 1.

Larval development in Modiolus modiolus from the White Sea. White Sea Modiolus modiolus does not appear to become mature until it is 2-3years old. These bivalves spawned over the whole summer, from the middle of June to September. Larvae were present in plankton from June through November. It is a considerably longer period than Kaufman (1977) stated.



Fig. 1. Growth in Modiolus modiolus juveniles, x-axis - time (years); y-axis - shell length (mm)

The size of the *M. modiolus* eggs was 100 to 100 μ m in diameter. According to J0rgensen (1946) the eggs of these bivalves are approximately 100 μ m. This size closely agrees with our measurements. However, the size given by Schweinitz & Lutz (1976), 78 to 90 μ m, is much smaller than that observed in our cultures.

Early development from cleavage to veliger stage was similar to that described by Malachov & Medvedeva (1985) and Flyachinskaya & Kulakovsky (1991) for *Mytilus edulis*. The cleavage of *M. modiolus* was unequal spiral and was accompanied by forming of a polar lobe in first, second and third cell-division (Fig. 2, a-g). Unequal blastula without inside cavity was evolved from this cleavage (Fig. 2, h-j). The next stage - conchostome, displayed an archenteron and shell gland (Fig. 2, k). Conchostome is distinctive only for mollusc and corresponding to the gastrula stage of other animals. Trochophore appeared after excurvation of the shell gland and had length 110 / μ m (Fig. 2, k).

The smallest straight-hinge veliger observed had length and height 170 and 130 μm , respectively (Fig. 3, a). As shell length reached 210 / μ m, the hinge-line was obscured by the appearance of a low, rounded umbo (Fig. 3, b).

Larval foot became evident within the shell, as larvae attained lengths of 280 μm (Fig. 3, c). Pigmented eyespots appear as larvae exceed 300 μm (Fig. 3, d). The full-grown foot of the pediveliger stage begins functioning as larvae exceed 330 μm (Fig. 3, e).





Fig. 2. Cleavage and early embryogenesis of Modiolus modiolus. For explanations see page 46

A straight-hinge veliger and pediveliger with functioning foot observed by us were bigger than Schweinitz & Lutz (1976) reported. They found that straight-hinge veliger in their cultures had length and height 105 and 109 μ m, respectively. It was much significantly smaller than that observed in our cultures. The length of pediveliger with functioning foot in their cultures was 290 μ m, so it was also smaller.



48 LP, Flyachinskaya & A.D. Naumov. Modiolus modiolus from the White Sea

Fig. 3. Metamorphosed juveniles of Modiolus modiolus. For explanations see page 46

Although some completely metamorphosed juveniles 350 μ m in length were observed in cultures, the majority of the larvae did not lose their vellum until they reached 400 μ m. All larvae collected from plankton and spat were found on the substrata metamorphosed at size of approximately 400 μ m (Fig. 3, f-h). The variation in size at the time of metamorphosis confirms a more critical analysis of the data on growth of larvae in the culture (Lossanov & Daevis, 1964).

Acknowledgements

This investigation was supported by European Commissions Copernicus-2 (grant ICA2-CT-2000-10053) and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 02-04-48583). Essential part of this investigation was supported by "PS-Bait" Oil Company.

Authors are very grateful to Drs V.V. Lukanin and V.V. Fedyakov who participate in benthic sampling before 1990. Many thanks to Prof. E. Rachor, Dr V.V. Khalaman and other colleagues who took part in preparation of artificial substrata for *Modiolus modiolus* spat settling; for Drs A.B. Tsetlin and A.A. Sukhotin for installation and removing of substrata; to Dr M.V. Fokin for his help in sorting of experimental material. This study could be never completed without the help and excellent work of R/Vs "Kartesh", "Prof. Vladimir Kuznetsov" and "Belomor" crews.

References

- Anwar, N.A., C.A. Richardson & R. Seed. 1990. Age determination, growth rate and population structure of the horse mussel *Modiolus modiolus*. J. marine biol. Assoc. U.K. 70: 441-457.
- Brown, R.A. & R. Seed. 1978. Modiolus modiolus (L.) an autoecological study. In: Biology of benthic organisms. 11th European symposium on marine biology. Galway, October 1976. (B.F. Keegan, P.O. Ceidigh & P.J.S. Boaden. Eds/ pp. 93-100. Oxford-New York-Toronto-Sydney-Paris-Frankfurt, Pergamon Press.
- Comely, C.A. 1978. Modiolus modiolus (L.) from the Scottish west coast. I. Biology. Ophelia, 17(2): 167-193.
- Fedyakov, V.V. 1986. *White Sea molluscs. Objective laws of distribution*. 127 pp. Leningrad, Zoological Institute Publisher. (In Russian).
- Fedyakov, V.V. 1988. Bivalves of the Sonostrov (White Sea, Kandalaksha Bay). *Explorations of the fauna of the seas* 38 (46): 142-162. (In Russian).
- Flyachinskaya, L.P. & E.Ye. Kulakovsky. 1991. Larval development of *Mytilus edulis* (Mytilida, Mytilidae). Zool. Zhurn. 70 (11): 23-29. (In Russian).
- Golikov, A.N., Babkov, A.I., Golikov, A.A., Novikov, O.K. & A.M. Sheremetevsky. 1985. Ecosystems of Onega Bay and adjacent part of Basin of the White Sea. *Explorations of the fauna of the seas* 33 (41): 20-87. (In Russian).
- Ivanova, S.S. 1957. Qualitative and quantitative characteristics of benthos of the Onega Bay, the White Sea. in: *Materialy po kompleksnomu izucheniyu Belogo morya [Materials on* the complex study of the White Sea]. Iss. 1-2. Pp. 355-380. Moskva-Leningrad. (In Russian).

- Jørgensen, C.3. 1946. Lamellibranchia. Medd. Komm. Dann. Fish. Havunders., Ser. Plancton 4: 277-312
- Kaufman, Z.S. 1977. Osobennosti polovykh tsiklov belomorskikh bespozvonochnykh [Peculiar properties of sexual cycles of the White Sea invertebrates]. Leningrad, Nauka. 265 pp. (In Russian).
- Kudersky, L.A. 1962. Bottom community *Modiolus modiolus* from the Onega Bay, the White Sea. *Trudy Karel 'skogo filiala AN SSSR* 33: 67-81. (In Russian).
- Kudersky, L.A. 1966. Sea bottom fauna of the Onega Bay, the White Sea. Trudy Kargos-NIORKH. 4 (5): 204-372. (In Russian).
- Loosanoff, V.L. & H. Davis. 1963. Rearing of bivalves mollusks. In: Advances in Marine Biology. 1, pp. 1-136. London/New York.
- Malakhov, V.V., Medvedeva, L.A. 1985. Embryogenesis and early larval development of a bivalve *Mytilus edulis* (Mytilida, Mytilidae). *Zool. Zhurn.* 64 (12): 1808-1815. (In Russian).
- Naumov, A.D. 1991. On the macrobenthos communities investigations. Proc. Zool. Inst. AN SSSR 233: 127-147. (In Russian).
- Rees. C.B. 1950. The identification and classification of lamellibranch larvae. *Hull Bull. marine Ecol.* 3: 73-104.
- Schweinitz, E.H. & R.A. Lutz. 1976. Larval development of the northern horse mussel Modiolus Modiolus (L.), including a comparison with the larvae of Mytilus edulis L. as an aid in planktonic identification. Biol. Bull. 150 (3): 348-360.
- Seed, R. & R.A. Brown. 1977. A comparison of the reproductive cycles of Modiolus modiolus (L.), Ceratoderma (=Cardium) edule (L.), and Mytilus edulis L. in Strangford Lough, Northern Ireland. Oecologia 30 (2): 173-188.